arXiv:1509.03307v2 [math.DS] 30 Apr 2016

On extensions of subshifts by finite groups

Kengo Matsumoto
Department of Mathematics
Joetsu University of Education
Joetsu, 943-8512, Japan

May 3, 2016

Abstract

λ-graph systems are labeled Bratteli diagram with shift operations. They present subshifts. Their matrix presentations are called symbolic matrix systems. We define skew products of λ-graph systems and study extensions of subshifts by finite groups. We prove that two canonical symbolic matrix systems are G-strong shift equivalent if and only if their presented subshifts are G-conjugate. G-equivalent classes of subshifts are classified by the cohomology classes of their associated skewing functions.

Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 37B10; Secondary 37C15, 37A55.

Keywords and phrases: subshifts, λ-graph systems, symbolic matrix systems, finite groups, topological conjugacy, strong shift equivalence, skewing functions.

1 Introduction

Let Σ be a finite set, called an alphabet. Each element of Σ is called a symbol or a label. Let $\Sigma^{\mathbb{Z}}$ be the compact Hausdorff space defined by the infinite product space $\prod_{i=-\infty}^{\infty} \Sigma_i$ where $\Sigma_i = \Sigma$, endowed with the product topology. The homeomorphism σ on $\Sigma^{\mathbb{Z}}$ given by $\sigma((x_i)_{i\in\mathbb{Z}}) = (x_{i+1})_{i\in\mathbb{Z}}$ is called the (full) shift. Let Λ be a shift invariant closed subset of $\Sigma^{\mathbb{Z}}$ i.e. $\sigma(\Lambda) = \Lambda$. The topological dynamical system $(\Lambda, \sigma|_\Lambda)$ is called a subshift. We denote $\sigma|_\Lambda$ by σ and write the subshift as Λ for short. A subshift is often called a symbolic dynamical system. For an introduction to the theory of symbolic dynamical systems, see [10] and [17]. Throughout the paper, $\mathbb{Z}_+$ and $\mathbb{N}$ denote the set of all nonnegative integers and the set of all positive integers respectively.

Let G be a finite group. Let $A = [A(i,j)]_{i,j=1}^N$ be an $N \times N$ matrix with entries in nonnegative integers which is called a nonnegative matrix. The matrix defines a finite directed graph $\mathcal{G}_A$ with N vertices such that the number of the edges from v_i to v_j is $A(i,j)$ for $i, j = 1, \dots, N$. Let E_A be the edge set of the graph $\mathcal{G}_A$. The shift space Λ_A for the matrix A is defined as the set of biinfinite sequences of concatenating edges in E_A. It is a compact subset of $E_A^{\mathbb{Z}}$ with shift homeomorphism σ written σ_A. The subshift (Λ_A, σ_A) is called the shift of finite type defined by the nonnegative matrix A. It is also called the SFT obtained from the directed graph $\mathcal{G}_A$. Actions of finite groups on SFTs have been studied by many authors related to extensions of SFTs (cf. [1], [2], [3], [4], [5], [28], etc.). W. Parry showed how to define extensions of SFTs by finite abelian groups and

Theorem 1.1 below. Suppose that a map $\ell : E_A \to G$ is given. Through the map ℓ, the matrix A defines an $N \times N$ matrix A^ℓ over the semigroup ring $\mathbb{Z}_+G$ over G. The function $\tau_\ell : \Lambda_A \to G$ defined by $\tau_\ell((x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}) = \ell(x_0) \in G$ for $(x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} \in \Lambda_A$ yields the skew product $(G \ltimes \Lambda_A, \tau_\ell \ltimes \sigma_A)$ written Λ_A^{G,τ_ℓ}, which is an SFT with a continuous G-action commuting the shift. The function τ_ℓ is called a skewing function. Conversely, any SFT having a continuous G-action commuting with the shift is constructed by this way. An SFT with a continuous G-action with commuting the shift is called a G-SFT. The following theorem was presented in Boyle–Sullivan's paper [2] as a Parry's result.

Theorem 1.1 ([2, Proposition 2.7.1]). *Let A and B be nonnegative matrices. Suppose that maps $\ell_A : E_A \to G$ and $\ell_B : E_B \to G$ are given. Let $\tau_{\ell_A} : \Lambda_A \to G$ and $\tau_{\ell_B} : \Lambda_B \to G$ be the associated skewing functions. Then the following are equivalent:*

(1) A^{ℓ_A} and B^{ℓ_B} are strong shift equivalent over $\mathbb{Z}_+G$.

(2) There is a topological conjugacy $\Phi : \Lambda_A \to \Lambda_B$ such that τ_{ℓ_A} is cohomologous to $\tau_{\ell_B} \circ \Phi$ in $C(\Lambda_A, G)$.

(3) There is a topological conjugacy between G-SFTs $\Lambda_A^{G,\tau_{\ell A}}$ and $\Lambda_B^{G,\tau_{\ell B}}$ commuting with the G-actions.

In this paper, we will generalize the above results on SFTs to general subshifts. The author has introduced notions of λ-graph system and symbolic matrix system as presentations of subshifts ([18]). They are generalized notions of λ-graph (= labeled graph) and symbolic matrix. A λ-graph system $\mathfrak{L} = (V, E, \lambda, \iota)$ consists of a vertex set $V = V_0 \cup V_1 \cup V_2 \cup \cdots$, an edge set $E = E_{0,1} \cup E_{1,2} \cup E_{2,3} \cup \cdots$, a labeling map $\lambda : E \to \Sigma$ and a surjective map $\iota(= \iota_{l,l+1}) : V_{l+1} \to V_l$ for each $l = 0, 1, \dots$ with a certain compatible condition. A symbolic matrix system $(\mathcal{M}, I)$ over Σ consists of two sequences of rectangular matrices $(\mathcal{M}_{l,l+1}, I_{l,l+1}), l = 0, 1, \dots$. The matrices $\mathcal{M}_{l,l+1}$ have their entries in formal sums of Σ and the matrices $I_{l,l+1}$ have their entries in $\{0, 1\}$. They satisfy the commutation relations: $I_{l,l+1}\mathcal{M}_{l+1,l+2} = \mathcal{M}_{l,l+1}I_{l+1,l+2}$ for $l = 0, 1, \dots$. It is required that each row of $I_{l,l+1}$ has at least one 1 and each column of $I_{l,l+1}$ has exactly one 1. A λ-graph system naturally arises from a symbolic matrix system $(\mathcal{M}, I)$. The labeled edges from a vertex $v_i^l \in V_l$ to a vertex $v_j^{l+1} \in V_{l+1}$ are given by the (i, j)-component $\mathcal{M}_{l,l+1}(i, j)$ of $\mathcal{M}_{l,l+1}$. The map $\iota(= \iota_{l,l+1})$ is defined by $\iota_{l,l+1}(v_j^{l+1}) = v_i^l$ precisely if $I_{l,l+1}(i, j) = 1$. The λ-graph systems and the symbolic matrix systems are the same objects and give rise to subshifts by gathering label sequences appearing in the labeled Bratteli diagrams of the λ-graph systems. Let us denote by $\Lambda_{\mathfrak{L}}$ the subshift presented by the λ-graph system $\mathfrak{L}$. Conversely we have a canonical method to construct a λ-graph system and a symbolic matrix system from an arbitrary subshift [18]. They are called the canonical λ-graph system and the canonical symbolic matrix system for subshift Λ and written as $\mathfrak{L}^\Lambda$ and $(\mathcal{M}^\Lambda, I^\Lambda)$ respectively.

Let G be a finite group. We call a subshift (Λ, σ) a *G-subshift* if there exists an action of G on Λ which commutes with the shift σ. G-subshifts (Λ, σ) and (Λ', σ') are said to be *G-conjugate* if there exists a topological conjugacy between them commuting with their G-actions. For a given function $\tau : \Lambda \to G$, we may consider a subshift $\Lambda^{G,\tau}$ as an extension of Λ by τ, and know that the subshift $\Lambda^{G,\tau}$ is a G-subshift. The extension $\Lambda^{G,\tau}$ is also called a skew product of Λ by τ and written $(G \ltimes \Lambda, \tau \ltimes \sigma)$. Conversely, we may show that any G-subshift $\widetilde{\Lambda}$ is of the form $\Lambda^{G,\tau}$ for some continuous function $\tau : \Lambda \to G$. Let $\tau : \Lambda \to G$ and $\tau' : \Lambda' \to G$ be continuous functions. Then it is easy to see that there exist a topological conjugacy $\varPhi : \Lambda \to \Lambda'$ such that τ is cohomologous to $\tau' \circ \varPhi$ if and only if there exists a topological conjugacy between G-subshifts $\Lambda^{G,\tau}$ and ${\Lambda'}^{G,\tau'}$ commuting with their G-actions.

For a λ-graph system $\mathfrak{L} = (V, E, \lambda, \iota)$ and a finite group G, suppose that a map $\ell : \Sigma \to G$ is given. We may define a λ-graph system $\mathfrak{L}^{G,\ell}$ as an extension of $\mathfrak{L}$ by ℓ, and show that the λ-graph system $\mathfrak{L}^{G,\ell}$ has a G-action. A λ-graph system with G-action is called a G-λ-graph system. We will know that a characterization of G-λ-graph system (Theorem 4.3). We show the following:

Theorem 1.2 (Theorem 5.6)**.** *For a λ-graph system $\mathfrak{L} = (V, E, \lambda, \iota)$ and a map $\ell : \Sigma \to G$, the subshift $\Lambda_{\mathfrak{L}^{G,\ell}}$ presented by the G-λ-graph system $\mathfrak{L}^{G,\ell}$ becomes a G-subshift which is G-conjugate to the skew product $G \ltimes \Lambda_{\mathfrak{L}}$ defined by the function $\tau_\ell((x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}) = \ell(x_0)$ for $(x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} \in \Lambda_{\mathfrak{L}}$, that is*

$$(\Lambda_{\mathfrak{L}^{G,\ell}}, \sigma_{\mathfrak{L}^{G,\ell}}) \cong (G \ltimes \Lambda_{\mathfrak{L}}, \tau_\ell \ltimes \sigma_{\mathfrak{L}}).$$

Let $(\mathcal{M}, I)$ be a symbolic matrix system over Σ. Suppose that a map $\ell : \Sigma \to G$ is given. Then $(\mathcal{M}, I)$ is naturally regarded as a symbolic matrix system over $\mathbb{Z}_+G$ through the map ℓ, denoted by $(\mathcal{M}^\ell, I)$. We may give a definition of properly G-strong shift equivalence between two symbolic matrix systems over $\mathbb{Z}_+G$. We will prove the following theorem as a main result of the paper.

Theorem 1.3 (Theorem 6.12)**.** *Let G be a finite group. Let $\mathfrak{L}$ and $\mathfrak{L}'$ be λ-graph systems over Σ and Σ', respectively. Let $(\mathcal{M}, I)$ and $(\mathcal{M}', I')$ be their associated symbolic matrix systems, respectively. Suppose that maps $\ell : \Sigma \to G$ and $\ell' : \Sigma' \to G$ are given. Let $(\mathcal{M}^\ell, I)$ and $({\mathcal{M}'}^{\ell'}, I')$ be their symbolic matrix systems over $\mathbb{Z}_+G$ through the maps ℓ and ℓ' respectively. Consider the following three conditions:*

(1) $(\mathcal{M}^\ell, I)$ and $({\mathcal{M}'}^{\ell'}, I')$ are properly G-strong shift equivalent.

(2) There exists a topological conjugacy $\varPhi : \Lambda_{\mathfrak{L}} \to \Lambda_{\mathfrak{L}'}$ such that τ_ℓ is cohomologous to $\tau_{\ell'} \circ \varPhi$ in $C(\Lambda_{\mathfrak{L}}, G)$.

(3) The G-subshifts $\Lambda_{\mathfrak{L}^{G,\ell}}$ and $\Lambda_{{\mathfrak{L}'}^{G,\ell'}}$ are G-conjugate.

Then we have

$$(1) \Longrightarrow (2) \Longleftrightarrow (3).$$

If in particular, $\mathfrak{L}$ and $\mathfrak{L}'$ are both the canonical λ-graph systems, we have $(2) \Longrightarrow (1)$.

The equivalence between $(2) \Longleftrightarrow (3)$ is easy. The other two implications $(1) \Longrightarrow (2)$ and $(2) \Longrightarrow (1)$ for the canonical λ-graph systems are the main ingredients of this paper which will be proved in Section 6.

We will finally present an example of an action of a finite group to a λ-graph system which presents a nonsofic subshift called Dyck shift D_2, and study G-conjugacy classes of extensions of Markov–Dyck shifts to give examples of extensions of non sofic subshifts which are not G-conjugate (Corollary 7.6, Proposition 7.7).

2 Preliminaries

2.1 Subshifts

Let (Λ, σ) be a subshift over Σ. A finite sequence $\mu = (\mu_1, ..., \mu_k)$ of elements $\mu_j \in \Sigma$ is called a word. We denote by $|\mu|$ the length k of μ. A word $\mu = (\mu_1, ..., \mu_k)$ is said to appear in $x = (x_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \in \Sigma^{\mathbb{Z}}$ if $x_m = \mu_1, ..., x_{m+k-1} = \mu_k$ for some $m \in \mathbb{Z}$. For a subshift Λ, we denote by $B_k(\Lambda)$ the set of all words of length k appearing in some $x \in \Lambda$, where $B_0(\Lambda)$ denotes the empty word. We set $B_*(\Lambda) = \cup_{k=0}^{\infty} B_k(\Lambda)$. Let us denote by Λ^+ the shift space of the right one-sided subshift for Λ which is defined by

$$\Lambda^+ = \{(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mid (x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \in \Lambda\}.$$

For $x = (x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in \Lambda^+$ and $l \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, the l-predecessor set $\Gamma_l^-(x)$ for x is defined by

$$\Gamma_l^-(x) = \{(\mu_1, \dots, \mu_l) \in B_l(\Lambda) \mid (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_l, x_1, x_2, \dots) \in \Lambda^+\}.$$

2.2 λ-graph systems

A λ-graph system is a graphical object presenting a subshift ([18]). It is a generalization of a finite labeled graph and has a close relationship to a construction of a certain class of C^*-algebras ([19]). Let $\mathfrak{L} = (V, E, \lambda, \iota)$ be a λ-graph system over Σ with vertex set $V = \cup_{l \in \mathbb{Z}_+} V_l$ and edge set $E = \cup_{l \in \mathbb{Z}_+} E_{l,l+1}$ with a labeling map $\lambda : E \to \Sigma$, and that is supplied with surjective maps $\iota (= \iota_{l,l+1}) : V_{l+1} \to V_l$ for $l \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. Here the vertex sets $V_l, l \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ are finite disjoint sets. Also $E_{l,l+1}, l \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ are finite disjoint sets. An edge e in $E_{l,l+1}$ has its source vertex $s(e)$ in V_l and its terminal vertex $t(e)$ in V_{l+1} respectively. Every vertex in V has a successor and every vertex in V_l for $l \in \mathbb{N}$ has a predecessor. It is then required that there exists an edge in $E_{l,l+1}$ with label α and its terminal is $v \in V_{l+1}$ if and only if there exists an edge in $E_{l-1,l}$ with label α and its terminal is $\iota(v) \in V_l$. For $u \in V_{l-1}$ and $v \in V_{l+1}$, put

$$E^{\iota}(u, v) = \{e \in E_{l,l+1} \mid t(e) = v, \iota(s(e)) = u\}, \tag{2.1}$$

$$E_{\iota}(u, v) = \{e \in E_{l-1,l} \mid s(e) = u, t(e) = \iota(v)\}. \tag{2.2}$$

Then we require a bijective correspondence preserving their labels between $E^{\iota}(u, v)$ and $E_{\iota}(u, v)$ for each pair of vertices u, v. We call this property *the local property* of λ-graph system. We call an edge in E a labeled edge, and a finite sequence of connecting labeled edges a labeled path or a λ-path. If a labeled path γ labeled ν starts at a vertex v in V_l and ends at a vertex u in V_{l+n}, we say that ν leaves v and write $s(\gamma) = v, t(\gamma) = u, \lambda(\gamma) = \nu$. We henceforth assume that $\mathfrak{L}$ is left-resolving, which means that $t(e) \neq t(f)$ whenever $\lambda(e) = \lambda(f)$, $e \neq f$ for $e, f \in E$. For a vertex $v \in V_l$ denote by $\Gamma_l^-(v)$ the predecessor set of v which is defined by the set of words of length l appearing as labeled paths from a vertex in V_0 to the vertex v. $\mathfrak{L}$ is said to be predecessor-separated if $\Gamma_l^-(v) \neq \Gamma_l^-(u)$ whenever $u, v \in V_l$ are distinct. A subshift Λ is said to be presented by a λ-graph system $\mathfrak{L}$ if the set of admissible words of Λ coincides with the set of labeled paths appearing somewhere in $\mathfrak{L}$. λ-graph systems $\mathfrak{L} = (V, E, \lambda, \iota)$ over Σ and $\mathfrak{L}' = (V', E', \lambda', \iota')$ over Σ' are said to be isomorphic if there exist bijections $\Phi_V : V \to V'$, $\Phi_E : E \to E'$ and $\phi : \Sigma \to \Sigma'$ satisfying $\Phi_V(V_l) = V_l'$, $\Phi_E(E_{l,l+1}) = E_{l,l+1}'$ and $\lambda' \circ \Phi_E = \phi \circ \lambda$ such that they give rise to a labeled graph isomorphism compatible to ι and ι'. We note that any essential finite directed labeled graph $\mathcal{G} = (\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{E}, \lambda)$ over Σ with vertex set $\mathcal{V}$, edge set $\mathcal{E}$ and labeling map $\lambda : \mathcal{E} \longrightarrow \Sigma$ gives rise to a λ-graph system $\mathfrak{L}_{\mathcal{G}} = (V, E, \lambda, \iota)$ by setting $V_l = \mathcal{V}, E_{l,l+1} = \mathcal{E}, \iota = \mathrm{id}$ for all $l \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ (cf. [19]).

Two points $x, y \in \Lambda^+$ are said to be *l-past equivalent*, written as $x \sim_l y$, if $\Gamma_l^-(x) = \Gamma_l^-(y)$. For a fixed $l \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, let $F_i^l, i = 1, 2, \dots, m(l)$ be the set of all l-past equivalence classes of Λ^+ so that Λ^+ is a disjoint union of $F_i^l, i = 1, 2, \dots, m(l)$. Then the canonical λ-graph system $\mathfrak{L}^\Lambda = (V^\Lambda, E^\Lambda, \lambda^\Lambda, \iota^\Lambda)$ for Λ is defined as follows ([18]). The vertex set V_l^Λ at level l consists of the sets $F_i^l, i = 1, \dots, m(l)$. We write an edge with label α from the vertex $F_i^l \in V_l^\Lambda$ to the vertex $F_j^{l+1} \in V_{l+1}^\Lambda$ if $\alpha x \in F_i^l$ for some $x \in F_j^{l+1}$. We denote by $E_{l,l+1}^\Lambda$ the set of all edges from V_l^Λ to V_{l+1}^Λ. There exists a natural map $\iota_{l,l+1}^\Lambda$ from V_{l+1}^Λ to V_l^Λ by mapping F_j^{l+1} to F_i^l when F_i^l contains F_j^{l+1}. Set $V^\Lambda = \cup_{l \in \mathbb{Z}_+} V_l^\Lambda$ and $E^\Lambda = \cup_{l \in \mathbb{Z}_+} E_{l,l+1}^\Lambda$. The labeling of edges is denoted by $\lambda^\Lambda : E^\Lambda \to \Sigma$. The canonical λ-graph system $\mathfrak{L}^\Lambda$ is left-resolving and predecessor-separated, and presents Λ.

For a λ-graph system $\mathfrak{L}$, let $\Lambda_{\mathfrak{L}}$ be the presented subshift by $\mathfrak{L}$. Then its canonical λ-graph system $\mathfrak{L}^{\Lambda_{\mathfrak{L}}}$ does not necessarily coincide with the original λ-graph system $\mathfrak{L}$. If in particular, Λ is a sofic shift, its canonical λ-graph system is eventually realized as the left Krieger cover graph for Λ.

2.3 Symbolic matrix systems

For an alphabet Σ, let us denote by $\mathfrak{S}_\Sigma$ the set of formal sums of elements of Σ. It contains 0 as an empty word $\emptyset$. A symbolic matrix system is a matrix presentation of a λ-graph system. It consists of a pair $(\mathcal{M}_{l,l+1}, I_{l,l+1}), l \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ of sequences of rectangular matrices such that the following conditions for each $l \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ are satisfied:

(1) $\mathcal{M}_{l,l+1}$ is an $m(l) \times m(l+1)$ rectangular matrix with entries in $\mathfrak{S}_\Sigma$.

(2) $I_{l,l+1}$ is an $m(l) \times m(l+1)$ rectangular matrix with entries in $\{0, 1\}$ satisfying the relation:

$$I_{l,l+1}\mathcal{M}_{l+1,l+2} = \mathcal{M}_{l,l+1}I_{l+1,l+2}, \qquad l \in \mathbb{Z}_+. \tag{2.3}$$

We further assume that both the matrices $\mathcal{M}_{l,l+1}$ and $I_{l,l+1}$ have no zero columns and no zero rows. For j, there uniquely exists i such that $I_{l,l+1}(i, j) \neq 0$. By the above conditions one sees $m(l) \leq m(l+1)$. The pair $(\mathcal{M}, I)$ is called a *symbolic matrix system* over Σ.

Symbolic matrix systems $(\mathcal{M}, I)$ over Σ and $(\mathcal{M}', I')$ over Σ' are said to be isomorphic if $m(l) = m'(l)$ for $l \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ and there exists a specification ϕ from Σ to Σ' and an $m(l) \times m(l)$ permutation matrix P_l for each $l \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ such that

$$P_l\mathcal{M}_{l,l+1} \overset{\phi}{\simeq} \mathcal{M}'_{l,l+1}P_{l+1}, \qquad P_lI_{l,l+1} = I'_{l,l+1}P_{l+1} \qquad \text{for} \quad l \in \mathbb{Z}_+$$

where specification $\phi : \Sigma \to \Sigma'$ means a bijective relabeling map, and $\overset{\phi}{\simeq}$ means the entrywise equalities through ϕ. Symbolic matrix systems $(\mathcal{M}, I)$ and $(\mathcal{M}', I')$ are said to be shift isomorphic if there exist $k, k' \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ such that

$$\mathcal{M}_{l+k,l+k+1} = \mathcal{M}'_{l+k',l+k'+1}, \qquad I_{l+k,l+k+1} = I'_{l+k',l+k'+1} \qquad \text{for all } l \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \quad \text{([21])}.$$

The notion of symbolic matrix system is a generalized notion of symbolic matrix. For an $n \times n$ symbolic matrix $\mathcal{A}$, we set $\mathcal{M}_{l,l+1} = \mathcal{A}, I_{l,l+1} = E_n$ for $l \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ where E_n denotes the identity matrix of size n. Then $(\mathcal{M}_{l,l+1}, I_{l,l+1}), l \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ is a symbolic matrix system. There exists a natural bijective correspondence between the set of isomorphism classes of symbolic matrix systems and the set of isomorphism classes of λ-graph systems. We say a symbolic matrix system to be canonical for a subshift Λ if its corresponding λ-graph system is canonical. It is denoted by $(\mathcal{M}^\Lambda, I^\Lambda)$.

2.4 Strong shift equivalence of symbolic matrix systems

The notion of strong shift equivalence in nonnegative matrices is a fundamental notion in the classification theory of shifts of finite type. It has been introduced by R. F. Williams [30] to classify shifts of finite type by topological conjugacy (for sofic shifts see [26]). As a generalization of Williams's strong shift equivalence, two kinds of strong shift equivalences between symbolic matrix systems have been introduced in [18]. One is called the properly strong shift equivalence that exactly reflects a bipartite decomposition of the associated λ-graph systems. The other one is called the strong shift equivalence that is weaker than the former strong shift equivalence. They coincide at least among symbolic matrix systems whose λ-graph systems are left-resolving and predecessor-separated, and hence between canonical symbolic matrix systems for subshifts. The latter is easier defined and treated than the former (see [18] for the detail).

Let us recall the two strong shift equivalences in symbolic matrix systems. For alphabets C, D, put $C \cdot D = \{cd \mid c \in C, d \in D\}$. For $x = \sum_j c_j \in \mathfrak{S}_C$ and $y = \sum_k d_k \in \mathfrak{S}_D$, define $xy = \sum_{j,k} c_j d_k \in \mathfrak{S}_{C \cdot D}$. Let $(\mathcal{M}, I)$ and $(\mathcal{M}', I')$ be symbolic matrix systems over Σ and Σ' respectively, where $\mathcal{M}_{l,l+1}, I_{l,l+1}$ are $m(l) \times m(l+1)$ matrices and $\mathcal{M}'_{l,l+1}, I'_{l,l+1}$ are $m'(l) \times m'(l+1)$ matrices. Symbolic matrix systems $(\mathcal{M}, I)$ and $(\mathcal{M}', I')$ are said to be *properly strong shift equivalent in 1-step*, written as $(\mathcal{M}, I) \underset{1-pr}{\approx} (\mathcal{M}', I')$, if there exist alphabets C, D and specifications $\varphi : \Sigma \to C \cdot D, \phi : \Sigma' \to D \cdot C$ and increasing sequences $n(l), n'(l)$ on $l \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ such that for each $l \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, there exist an $n(l) \times n'(l+1)$ matrix $\mathcal{P}_l$ over C, an $n'(l) \times n(l+1)$ matrix $\mathcal{Q}_l$ over D, an $n(l) \times n(l+1)$ matrix X_l over $\{0,1\}$ and an $n'(l) \times n'(l+1)$ matrix X'_l over $\{0,1\}$ satisfying the following equations:

$$\mathcal{M}_{l,l+1} \overset{\varphi}{\simeq} \mathcal{P}_{2l}\mathcal{Q}_{2l+1}, \qquad \mathcal{M}'_{l,l+1} \overset{\phi}{\simeq} \mathcal{Q}_{2l}\mathcal{P}_{2l+1}, \tag{2.4}$$

$$I_{l,l+1} = X_{2l}X_{2l+1}, \qquad I'_{l,l+1} = X'_{2l}X'_{2l+1} \tag{2.5}$$

and

$$X_l\mathcal{P}_{l+1} = \mathcal{P}_l X'_{l+1}, \qquad X'_l\mathcal{Q}_{l+1} = \mathcal{Q}_l X_{l+1}, \tag{2.6}$$

where $\overset{\varphi}{\simeq}$ and $\overset{\phi}{\simeq}$ mean the equalities through the specifications φ, ϕ, respectively. It follows by (2.4) that $n(2l) = m(l)$ and $n'(2l) = m(l)$ for $l \in \mathbb{Z}_+$.

Two symbolic matrix systems $(\mathcal{M}, I)$ and $(\mathcal{M}', I')$ are said to be *properly strong shift equivalent in N-step*, written as $(\mathcal{M}, I) \underset{N-pr}{\approx} (\mathcal{M}', I')$, if there exists an N-string of properly strong shift equivalences in 1-step of symbolic matrix systems connecting between $(\mathcal{M}, I)$ and $(\mathcal{M}', I')$. We simply call it a *properly strong shift equivalence.* The properly strong shift equivalence is rephrased in terms of bipartite symbolic matrix systems and bipartite λ-graph systems. Suppose that $(\mathcal{M}, I) \underset{1-pr}{\approx} (\mathcal{M}', I')$, Let Λ and Λ' be their presenting subshifts. For $(x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} \in \Lambda$, we write $\varphi(x_n) = c_n d_n \in C \cdot D, n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Put $y_n = \phi^{-1}(d_n c_{n+1})$. As in [18], one knows that $(y_n)_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$ defines an element of Λ' such that the correspondence $\varPhi : (x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} \in \Lambda \to (y_n)_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} \in \Lambda'$ yields a topological conjugacy from Λ to Λ', which is called the forward bipartite conjugacy. If one takes $y'_n = \phi^{-1}(d_{n-1}c_n)$, the topological conjugacy $\varPhi' : (x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} \in \Lambda \to (y'_n)_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} \in \Lambda'$ is called the backward bipartite conjugacy (cf. [26]). Hence if two symbolic matrix systems are properly strong shift equivalent, their presented subshifts are topologically conjugate. Conversely, if two subshifts Λ, Λ' are topologically conjugate, their canonical symbolic matrix systems $(\mathcal{M}^{\Lambda}, I^{\Lambda}), (\mathcal{M}^{\Lambda'}, I^{\Lambda'})$ are properly strong shift equivalent ([18]).

The above definition of properly strong shift equivalence for symbolic matrix systems needs rather complicated formulations than that of strong shift equivalence for nonnegative matrices. The notion of strong shift equivalence between two symbolic matrix systems is simpler and weaker than properly strong shift equivalence. Symbolic matrix systems $(\mathcal{M}, I)$ and $(\mathcal{M}', I')$ are said to be *strong shift equivalent in 1-step*, written as $(\mathcal{M}, I) \underset{1-st}{\approx} (\mathcal{M}', I')$, if there exist alphabets C, D and specifications $\varphi : \Sigma \to C \cdot D$, $\phi : \Sigma' \to D \cdot C$ such that for each $l \in \mathbb{N}$, there exist an $m(l-1) \times m'(l)$ matrix $\mathcal{H}_l$ over C and an $m'(l-1) \times m(l)$ matrix $\mathcal{K}_l$ over D satisfying the following equations:

$$I_{l-1,l}\mathcal{M}_{l,l+1} \overset{\varphi}{\simeq} \mathcal{H}_l\mathcal{K}_{l+1}, \qquad I'_{l-1,l}\mathcal{M}'_{l,l+1} \overset{\phi}{\simeq} \mathcal{K}_l\mathcal{H}_{l+1} \tag{2.7}$$

and

$$\mathcal{H}_l I'_{l,l+1} = I_{l-1,l}\mathcal{H}_{l+1}, \qquad \mathcal{K}_l I_{l,l+1} = I'_{l-1,l}\mathcal{K}_{l+1}. \tag{2.8}$$

Symbolic matrix systems $(\mathcal{M}, I)$ and $(\mathcal{M}', I')$ are said to be *strong shift equivalent in N-step*, written as $(\mathcal{M}, I) \underset{N-st}{\approx} (\mathcal{M}', I')$, if there exists an N-string of strong shift equivalences of 1-step of symbolic matrix systems connecting between $(\mathcal{M}, I)$ and $(\mathcal{M}', I')$. We simply call it a *strong shift equivalence*. Let $\mathcal{P}_l, \mathcal{Q}_l, X_l$ and X'_l be the matrices in the definition of properly strong shift equivalence in 1-step between $(\mathcal{M}, I)$ and $(\mathcal{M}', I')$ satisfying (2.4), (2.5) and (2.6). By setting

$$\mathcal{H}_l = X_{2l-1}\mathcal{P}_{2l-1}, \qquad \mathcal{K}_l = X'_{2l-1}\mathcal{Q}_{2l-1},$$

they give rise to a strong shift equivalence in 1-step between $(\mathcal{M}, I)$ and $(\mathcal{M}', I')$. Hence properly strong shift equivalence in 1-step implies strong shift equivalence in 1-step. Let $(\mathcal{M}, I)$ and $(\mathcal{M}', I')$ be the symbolic matrix systems for λ-graph systems $\mathfrak{L}$ and $\mathfrak{L}'$ respectively. Suppose that both $\mathfrak{L}$ and $\mathfrak{L}'$ are left-resolving, and predecessor-separated. We know that $(\mathcal{M}, I)$ and $(\mathcal{M}', I')$ are strong shift equivalent in l-step if and only if $(\mathcal{M}, I)$ and $(\mathcal{M}', I')$ are properly strong shift equivalent in l-step ([20]). Hence the two notions, strong shift equivalence and properly strong shift equivalence, coincide with each other in the canonical symbolic matrix systems. We finally note that shift isomorphisms between symbolic matrix systems imply strong shift equivalent ([21, Proposition 2.2]).

3 Extensions of subshifts

Let (Λ, σ) be a subshift over Σ and G a finite group. Let $\tau : \Lambda \to G$ be a continuous function from Λ to G. Define a homeomorphism $\sigma_{G,\tau} : G \times \Lambda \to G \times \Lambda$ by setting $\sigma_{G,\tau}(g,x) = (g\tau(x), \sigma(x))$. We set $\Lambda^{G,\tau} = G \times \Lambda$.

Lemma 3.1. *$(\Lambda^{G,\tau}, \sigma_{G,\tau})$ is topologically conjugate to a subshift.*

Proof. Define a metric d on Λ by

$$d(x,y) = \begin{cases} 2^{-k} & \text{if } x \neq y \text{ and } k = \mathrm{Max}\{n \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \mid x_{|m|} = y_{|m|} \text{for all } m \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ with } |m| \leq n\}, \\ 0 & \text{if } x = y, \end{cases}$$

which gives rise to a topology equivalent to the original product topology on Λ. Consider the metric on $G \times \Lambda$ induced by d on Λ in a natural way. Then it is easy to see that the homeomorphism $\sigma_{G,\tau} : G \times \Lambda \to G \times \Lambda$ is expansive relative to the metric on $G \times \Lambda$. Hence the topological dynamical system $(\Lambda^{G,\tau}, \sigma_{G,\tau})$ is topologically conjugate to a subshift. □

The space $\Lambda^{G,\tau} = G \times \Lambda$ has a natural G-action written ρ from the left

$$\rho_g(a,x) = (ga, x), \qquad a, g \in G, x \in \Lambda$$

which commutes with σ. Hence we have a G-subshift $(\Lambda^{G,\tau}, \sigma_{G,\tau})$. We call $(\Lambda^{G,\tau}, \sigma_{G,\tau})$ the *extension* of Λ by skewing function $\tau : \Lambda \to G$. It is also called the G-extension of Λ by τ for brevity. From the view point of topological dynamical systems, the dynamical system $(\Lambda^{G,\tau}, \sigma_{G,\tau})$ is called the skew product written $(G \ltimes \Lambda, \tau \ltimes \sigma)$.

Conversely, let $\widetilde{\Lambda}$ be a subshift with a G-action $\tilde{\rho}$ commuting with the shift $\tilde{\sigma}$. Assume that G acts on $\widetilde{\Lambda}$ freely. Let $q : \widetilde{\Lambda} \to \Lambda$ be the map onto the quotient space $\Lambda = \widetilde{\Lambda}/G$ of G-orbits. Let σ be the homeomorphism on Λ induced by $\tilde{\sigma}$. Since the action of G on $\widetilde{\Lambda}$ is free, there exists a continuous cross section $c : \Lambda \to \widetilde{\Lambda}$ such that the set

$$C = \{c(x) \in \widetilde{\Lambda} \mid x \in \Lambda\}$$

is a clopen subset of $\widetilde{\Lambda}$ and $\widetilde{\Lambda}$ is homeomorphic to the union $\cup_{g \in G} \tilde{\rho}_g(C)$ which is mutually disjoint. Hence one may identify $\widetilde{\Lambda}$ with $G \times \Lambda$ through $(g,x) \in G \times \Lambda \to \tilde{\rho}_g(c(x)) \in \widetilde{\Lambda}$. The cross section $c : \Lambda \to \widetilde{\Lambda} = G \times \Lambda$ is identified with $c(x) = (1, x)$. Define $\tau : \Lambda \to G$ by setting $\tau(x) = g$ if $\tilde{\sigma}(c(x)) \in \tilde{\rho}_g(C)$. Since $\tilde{\rho}_g, g \in G$ commute with $\tilde{\sigma}$, we have $q(\tilde{\sigma}(x)) = \sigma(q(x))$ for $x \in \widetilde{\Lambda}$ so that $\tilde{\sigma}(g,x) = (g\tau(x), \sigma(x))$. Therefore $(\widetilde{\Lambda}, \tilde{\sigma})$ is a skew product $(G \ltimes \Lambda, \tau \ltimes \sigma)$.

Proposition 3.2 (cf. [1], [2], [5])**.** *Let Λ be a subshift and G a finite group. Any extension $(\Lambda^{G,\tau}, \sigma_{G,\tau})$ of Λ by a skewing function $\tau : \Lambda \to G$ defines a G-subshift. Conversely, any G-subshift $(\widetilde{\Lambda}, \tilde{\sigma})$ comes from a skew product $(G \ltimes \Lambda, \tau \ltimes \sigma)$ of a subshift (Λ, σ) with a skewing function τ.*

Concerning on topological conjugacy of extensions, the following proposition is elementary and folklore. We give its proof for the sake of completeness. We always assume that G is a finite group.

Proposition 3.3. *Let* (Λ, σ) *and* (Λ', σ') *be subshifts. Let* $\tau : \Lambda \to G$ *and* $\tau' : \Lambda' \to G$ *be continuous functions. Let us denote by* $\Lambda^{G,\tau}$ *and* ${\Lambda'}^{G,\tau'}$ *their* G*-extensions. Then the following are equivalent:*

(1) There exists a topological conjugacy $\Psi : \Lambda^{G,\tau} \to {\Lambda'}^{G,\tau'}$ *commuting with their* G*-actions.*

(2) There exist a topological conjugacy $\Phi : \Lambda \to \Lambda'$ *and a continuous function* $\gamma : \Lambda \to G$ *such that*

$$\tau(x) = \gamma(x)\tau'(\Phi(x))\gamma(\sigma(x))^{-1}, \qquad x \in \Lambda.$$

Proof. (1) $\Longrightarrow$ (2): For $(1, x) \in G \times \Lambda = \Lambda^{G,\tau}$, we set

$$\Psi(1, x) = (\gamma(x), \Phi(x)) \in G \times \Lambda' = {\Lambda'}^{G,\tau'}$$

for some continuous functions $\gamma : \Lambda \to G$ and $\Phi : \Lambda \to \Lambda'$. Since $\rho'_g \circ \Psi = \Psi \circ \rho_g$ for $g \in G$, we have $\Psi(g, x) = (g\gamma(x), \Phi(x))$. Since $\sigma'_{G,\tau'} \circ \Psi = \Psi \circ \sigma_{G,\tau}$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma'_{G,\tau'} \circ \Psi(g, x) &= \sigma'_{G,\tau'}(g\gamma(x), \Phi(x)) = (g\gamma(x)\tau'(\Phi(x)), \sigma'(\Phi(x))), \\ \Psi \circ \sigma_{G,\tau}(g, x) &= \Psi(g\tau(x), \sigma(x)) = (g\tau(x)\gamma(\sigma(x)), \Phi(\sigma(x))), \end{aligned}$$

we have

$$(g\gamma(x)\tau'(\Phi(x)), \sigma'(\Phi(x))) = (g\tau(x)\gamma(\sigma(x)), \Phi(\sigma(x))).$$

Hence we have

$$\gamma(x)\tau'(\Phi(x)) = \tau(x)\gamma(\sigma(x)), \qquad \sigma'(\Phi(x)) = \Phi(\sigma(x))$$

which show that τ is cohomologous to $\tau' \circ \Phi$ and $\Phi : \Lambda \to \Lambda'$ is a topological conjugacy.

(2) $\Longrightarrow$ (1): Define $\Psi : \Lambda^{G,\tau} \to {\Lambda'}^{G,\tau'}$ by setting

$$\Psi(g, x) = (g\gamma(x), \Phi(x)) \quad \text{ for } (g, x) \in G \times \Lambda = \Lambda^{G,\tau}.$$

It then follows that for $x = (x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \in \Lambda$

$$\Psi(\sigma_{G,\tau}(g, x)) = (g\tau(x)\gamma(\sigma(x)), \Phi(\sigma(x))), \qquad \sigma_{G,\tau'}(\Psi(g, x)) = (g\gamma(x)\tau'(\Phi(x)), \sigma'(\Phi(x)))$$

so that $\Psi(\sigma_{G,\tau}(g, x)) = \sigma_{G,\tau'}(\Psi(g, x))$. It is routine to check that Ψ is a homeomorphism so that $\Psi : \Lambda^{G,\tau} \to {\Lambda'}^{G,\tau'}$ yields a topological conjugacy. The equality $\Psi \circ \rho_g = \rho'_g \circ \Psi$ for $g \in G$ is clear. Hence $\Psi : \Lambda^{G,\tau} \to {\Lambda'}^{G,\tau'}$ gives rise to a G-conjugacy. □

4 Extensions of λ-graph systems

In what follows, we fix a finite group G and a λ-graph system $\mathfrak{L} = (V, E, \lambda, \iota)$ over an alphabet Σ. Suppose that a map $\ell : \Sigma \to G$ is given. We set $\Sigma^G = G \times \Sigma$. We will construct a λ-graph system $\mathfrak{L}^{G,\ell} = (V^G, E^G, \lambda^G, \iota^G)$ over Σ^G from $\mathfrak{L}$ by the map ℓ, which we call an *extension of* $\mathfrak{L}$ by G, or a G-extension of $\mathfrak{L}$ by ℓ. We put $\ell_G = \ell \circ \lambda : E \to G$. For $l \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, we set $V_l^G = G \times V_l$ and $E_{l,l+1}^G = G \times E_{l,l+1}$. Define $\iota^G : V_{l+1}^G \to V_l^G$ by setting

$\iota^G(g,v) = (g,\iota(v))$ for $(g,v) \in V^G_{l+1}$. We put $e^g = (g,e) \in E^G_{l,l+1}$. The source $s(e^g)$ and the terminal $t(e^g)$ of e^g are defined respectively by

$$s(e^g) = (g, s(e)) \in V^G_l, \qquad t(e^g) = (g\ell_G(e), t(e)) \in V^G_{l+1}.$$

Define $\lambda^G : E^G_{l,l+1} \to \Sigma^G$ by $\lambda^G(e^g) = (g,\lambda(e))$. We set $V^G = \cup_{l=0}^\infty V^G_l$ and $E^G = \cup_{l=0}^\infty E^G_{l,l+1}$. We then have

Proposition 4.1. *$\mathfrak{L}^{G,\ell} = (V^G, E^G, \lambda^G, \iota^G)$ is a λ-graph system over Σ^G.*

Proof. It suffices to show the local property of λ-graph system for $\mathfrak{L}^G$. Take an arbitrary $(a,u) \in V^G_{l-1}$, $(b,v) \in V^G_{l+1}$ and fix them. For $e^a \in E_\iota((a,u),(b,v))$, we have $s(e^a) = (a,u), t(e^a) = (b,\iota(v))$. Hence $b = a\ell_G(e)$. By the local property of $\mathfrak{L}$, one may find $f \in E^\iota(u,v)$ such that $\iota(s(f)) = u, t(f) = v$ and $\lambda(f) = \lambda(e)$ so that $\ell_G(f) = \ell_G(e) = a^{-1}b$. The edge $f^a = (a,f)$ belongs to $E^\iota((a,u),(b,v))$ and $\lambda^G(f^a) = \lambda^G(e^a)$. Conversely, for any edge $f^a \in E^\iota((a,u),(b,v))$, one may find an edge $e^a \in E_\iota((a,u),(b,v))$ such that $\lambda^G(f^a) = \lambda^G(e^a)$. Hence there exists a bijective correspondence between $E_\iota((a,u),(b,v))$ and $E^\iota((a,u),(b,v))$ preserving their labels. ☐

We say that a finite group G acts on a λ-graph system $\mathfrak{L} = (V,E,\lambda,\iota)$ over Σ if there exists a triplet of bijective maps $\rho_g = (\rho^V_g, \rho^E_g, \rho^\Sigma_g)$ for each $g \in G$ with $\rho^V_g : V_l \to V_l, \rho^E_g : E_{l,l+1} \to E_{l,l+1}$ and $\rho^\Sigma_g : \Sigma \to \Sigma$ such that $\rho^V_g \circ \iota = \iota \circ \rho^V_g$ and

$$\rho^V_g(s(e)) = s(\rho^E_g(e)), \qquad \rho^V_g(t(e)) = t(\rho^E_g(e)), \qquad \rho^\Sigma_g(\lambda(e)) = \lambda(\rho^E_g(e)), \qquad e \in E$$

and $\rho^*_1 = \mathrm{id}_*, \rho^*_{g_1} \circ \rho^*_{g_2} = \rho^*_{g_1g_2}, g_1, g_2 \in G$ for $* = V, E, \Sigma$, respectively. A λ-graph system with an action of G is called a *G-λ-graph system*. We may define G-action ρ on $\mathfrak{L}^{G,\ell}$. For $g \in G$, define $\rho^V_g : V^G_l \to V^G_l$, $\rho^E_g : E^G_{l,l+1} \to E^G_{l,l+1}$ and $\rho^{\Sigma^G}_g : \Sigma^G \to \Sigma^G$ by $\rho^V_g(a,v) = (ga,v) \in V^G_l$, $\rho^E_g(a,e) = (ga,e) \in E^G_{l,l+1}$ and $\rho^{\Sigma^G}_g(a,\alpha) = (ga,\alpha) \in \Sigma^G$ for $g,a \in G, v \in V, e \in E, \alpha \in \Sigma$, respectively. It is easy to see $\rho_g \circ \rho_h = \rho_{gh}$. Hence the G-extension $\mathfrak{L}^{G,\ell}$ is a G-λ-graph system.

The correspondences

$$q_{V^G} : V^G_l \to V_l, \qquad q_{E^G} : E^G_{l,l+1} \to E_{l,l+1}, \qquad l \in \mathbb{Z}_+$$

defined by $q_{V^G}(g,u) = u, q_{V^G}(g,e) = e$ yield a surjective homomorphism of λ-graph systems, which we write $q : \mathfrak{L}^{G,\ell} \to \mathfrak{L}$.

Let $\eta : E^G \to G$ be the map defined by

$$\eta(e^g) = g \quad \text{ for } e^g = (g,e) \in E^G_{l,l+1}.$$

The map η satisfies the following conditions:

$$\eta(e^g) = \eta(f^h) \cdot \ell_G(f) \quad \text{ for } e^g, f^h \in E^G_{l,l+1},\ l \in \mathbb{N} \text{ with } t(f^h) = s(e^g), \tag{4.1}$$

$$\eta(e^g) = \eta(e'^{g'}) \quad \text{ for } e^g, e'^{g'} \in E^G_{0,1} \text{ with } s(e^g) = s(e'^{g'}). \tag{4.2}$$

Conversely, we have the following proposition.

Proposition 4.2. *Let $\mathfrak{L} = (V, E, \lambda, \iota)$ be a λ-graph system over Σ with an action ρ of G on $\mathfrak{L}$. Suppose that $\Sigma = G \times \Sigma_\circ$ and there exist maps $\eta_\circ : E \to G$, $r_\circ : E \to \Sigma_\circ$ and $\ell_\circ : \Sigma_\circ \to G$ satisfying the following three conditions:*

$$\lambda(e) = (\eta_\circ(e), r_\circ(e)), \quad \eta_\circ(\rho^E_g(e)) = g\eta_\circ(e), \quad r_\circ(\rho^E_g(e)) = r_\circ(e) \tag{4.3}$$

for $g \in G, e \in E$ and

$$\eta_\circ(e) = \eta_\circ(f) \cdot \ell_\circ(r_\circ(f)) \quad \text{for } e, f \in E_{l,l+1},\ l \in \mathbb{N} \text{ with } t(f) = s(e), \tag{4.4}$$

$$\eta_\circ(e) = \eta_\circ(e') \quad \text{for } e, e' \in E_{0,1} \text{ with } s(e) = s(e'). \tag{4.5}$$

Then there exist a λ-graph system $\mathfrak{L}_\circ = (V_\circ, E_\circ, \lambda_\circ, \iota_\circ)$ over $\Sigma_\circ$ and its G-extension $\mathfrak{L}_\circ^{G,\ell_\circ}$ by $\ell_\circ$ such that $\mathfrak{L}_\circ^{G,\ell_\circ}$ is isomorphic to $\mathfrak{L}$ as G-λ-graph systems.

Proof. We first note that the condition (4.4) implies the same condition (4.5) for $e, e' \in E_{l,l+1}$ with $l \in \mathbb{N}$. In fact, suppose that $e, e' \in E_{l,l+1}$ for $l \in \mathbb{N}$ satisfy $s(e) = s(e')$. Since $l \geq 1$, One may take $f \in E_{l-1,l}$ such that $s(e) = s(e') = t(f)$. By the condition (4.4), we have

$$\eta_\circ(e) = \eta_\circ(f) \cdot \ell_\circ(r_\circ(f)) = \eta_\circ(e') \tag{4.6}$$

so that the condition (4.5) holds for $e, e' \in E_{l,l+1}$ with $l \in \mathbb{N}$.

Consider the quotient spaces of V, E which we denote by $V_{\circ,l} = V_l/G$ and $E_{\circ,l,l+1} = E_{l,l+1}/G$. Denote by $[v] \in V_{\circ,l}$ and $[e] \in E_{\circ,l,l+1}$ the equivalence class of $v \in V_l$ and that of $e \in E_{l,l+1}$, respectively. We set

$$s([e]) = [s(e)], \qquad t([e]) = [t(e)], \qquad \lambda_\circ([e]) = r_\circ(e), \qquad \iota_\circ([v]) = [\iota(v)].$$

It is easy to see that $\mathfrak{L}_\circ = (V_\circ, E_\circ, \lambda_\circ, \iota_\circ)$ is a λ-graph system over $\Sigma_\circ$ with the map $\ell_\circ : \Sigma_\circ \to G$.

We will define maps $\jmath^E : E_{\circ,l,l+1} \to E_{l,l+1}$ and $\jmath^V : V_{\circ,l} \to V_l$ for each $l \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. Set

$$\jmath^E([e]) = \rho^E_{\eta_\circ(e)^{-1}}(e) \quad \text{for } [e] \in E_{\circ,l,l+1}. \tag{4.7}$$

If $[e'] = [e]$ for some $e' \in E_{l,l+1}$, one sees $e' = \rho^E_g(e)$ for some $g \in G$. By (4.3), one has $\eta_\circ(e') = \eta_\circ(\rho^E_g(e)) = g\eta_\circ(e)$ so that

$$\rho^E_{\eta_\circ(e')^{-1}}(e') = \rho^E_{\eta_\circ(e)^{-1}g^{-1}}(\rho^E_g(e)) = \rho^E_{\eta_\circ(e)^{-1}}(e).$$

This shows that the map $\jmath^E([e])$ defined in (4.7) is well-defined. For $[v] \in V_{\circ,l}$, take $e \in E_{l,l+1}$ such that $v = s(e)$. Set

$$\jmath^V([v]) = s(\jmath^E([e]))(= s(\rho^E_{\eta_\circ(e)^{-1}}(e)) = \rho^V_{\eta_\circ(e)^{-1}}(s(e))). \tag{4.8}$$

If $[v] = [v'] \in V_{\circ,l}$ for some $v' \in V_l$, one may take $e' \in E_{l,l+1}$ and $g \in G$ such that $v' = s(e')$ and $\rho^V_g(v) = v'$ so that $s(\rho^E_g(e)) = s(e')$. By (4.6), one has $\eta_\circ(e') = \eta_\circ(\rho^E_g(e)) = g\eta_\circ(e)$ so that we have

$$\jmath^V([v']) = \rho^V_{\eta_\circ(e')^{-1}}(s(e')) = \rho^V_{(g\eta_\circ(e))^{-1}}(s(\rho^E_g(e))) = \rho^V_{\eta_\circ(e)^{-1}}(s(e)) = \jmath^V([v]).$$

This shows that the map $\jmath^V([v])$ defined in (4.8) is well-defined.

We next define $\xi : \mathfrak{L}_\circ^{G,\ell_\circ} \to \mathfrak{L}$ by a pair of bijective maps $\xi = (\xi^V, \xi^E)$ such that $\xi^E : E^G_{\circ,l,l+1} \to E_{l,l+1}$ and $\xi^V : V^G_{\circ,l} \to V_l$ for each $l \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ by setting

$$\xi^E(g,[e]) = \rho^E_g(\jmath^E([e])), \qquad \xi^V(g,[v]) = \rho^V_g(\jmath^V([v])).$$

The map ξ is shown to be compatible to the structure of the λ-graph systems $\mathfrak{L}_\circ^{G,\ell_\circ}$ and $\mathfrak{L}$ in the following way:

$$\begin{aligned} s(\xi^E(g,[e])) &= \rho^V_g(s(\jmath^E([e]))) \\ &= \rho^V_g(\rho^V_{\eta_\circ(e)^{-1}}(s(e))) \\ &= \rho^V_g(\jmath^V([s(e)])) \\ &= \xi^V((g,[s(e)])) \\ &= \xi^V(s(g,[e])). \end{aligned}$$

We also see that

$$t(\xi^E(g,[e])) = \rho^V_g(t(\jmath^E([e]))) = \rho^V_g(\rho^V_{\eta_\circ(e)^{-1}}(t(e))).$$

Take $f \in E$ such that $t(e) = s(f)$ and hence $\eta_\circ(f) = \eta_\circ(e)\ell_\circ(r_\circ(e))$. It then follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \rho^V_g(\rho^V_{\eta_\circ(e)^{-1}}(t(e))) &= \rho^V_g(\rho^V_{\ell_\circ(r_\circ(e))}(\rho^V_{\eta_\circ(f)^{-1}}(s(f)))) \\ &= \rho^V_g(\rho^V_{\ell_\circ(r_\circ(e))}(\jmath^V([t(e)]))) \\ &= \xi^V(g\ell_\circ(r_\circ(e)),[t(e)])) \\ &= \xi^V(g\ell_{\circ G}([e]),t([e]))) \\ &= \xi^V(t(g,[e])) \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$s(\xi^E(g,[e])) = \xi^V(s(g,[e])), \qquad t(\xi^E(g,[e])) = \xi^V(t(g,[e])).$$

Since the equalities for $[e] \in E_{\circ,l,l+1}$ hold

$$\lambda(\jmath^E([e])) = (\eta_\circ(\rho^E_{\eta_\circ(e)^{-1}}(e)), r_\circ(\rho^E_{\eta_\circ(e)^{-1}}(e))) = (\eta_\circ(e)^{-1}\eta_\circ(e), r_\circ(e)) = (1, r_\circ(e)) \in G \times \Sigma_\circ,$$

we have

$$\lambda(\xi^E(g,[e])) = \rho^\Sigma_g(\lambda(\jmath^E([e]))) = (g, \lambda_\circ([e])) = \lambda^G_\circ(g,[e]).$$

Suppose that

$$\xi^E(g,[e]) = \xi^E(g',[e']) \quad \text{for some } e,e' \in E_{l,l+1} \text{ and } g,g' \in G.$$

It then follws that

$$\rho^E_g(\jmath^E([e])) = \rho^E_{g'}(\jmath^E([e'])) \tag{4.9}$$

and hence

$$\rho^E_{g\eta_\circ(e)^{-1}}(e) = \rho^E_{g'\eta_\circ(e')^{-1}}(e')$$

so that $[e] = [e']$. By (4.9), we have $g = g'$. Suppose next that

$$\xi^V(g, [v]) = \xi^V(g', [v']) \quad \text{for some } v, v' \in V_l \text{ and } g, g' \in G.$$

Take $e, e' \in E_{l,l+1}$ such that $v = s(e), v' = s(e')$. It then follows that

$$\rho^V_g(s(\jmath^E([e]))) = \rho^V_{g'}(s(\jmath^E([e'])))$$

and hence

$$\rho^V_{g\eta_\circ(e)^{-1}}(s(e)) = \rho^V_{g'\eta_\circ(e')^{-1}}(s(e'))$$

so that $[s(e)] = [s(e')]$ and $g = g'$. Therefore both ξ^E and ξ^V are injective. Their surjectivities are easily seen. Hence the map $\xi = (\xi^V, \xi^E)$ gives rise to an isomorphism $\xi : \mathfrak{L}_\circ^{G,\ell_\circ} \to \mathfrak{L}$ of λ-graph systems. $\square$

By (4.1), (4.2), we obtain the following characterization of G-extension of λ-graph systems.

Theorem 4.3. *Let $\mathfrak{L} = (V, E, \lambda, \iota)$ be a λ-graph system over Σ and G be a finite group. Then $\mathfrak{L}$ is a G-extension of a λ-graph system $\mathfrak{L}_\circ$ over $\Sigma_\circ$ if and only if there exist a free action ρ of G on $\mathfrak{L}$ and maps $\eta_\circ : E \to G, r_\circ : E \to \Sigma_\circ$ and $\ell_\circ : \Sigma_\circ \to G$ such that $\Sigma = G \times \Sigma_\circ$ and*

$$\lambda(e) = (\eta_\circ(e), r_\circ(e)), \quad \eta_\circ(\rho^E_g(e)) = g\eta_\circ(e), \quad r_\circ(\rho^E_g(e)) = r_\circ(e) \tag{4.10}$$

for $g \in G, e \in E$ and

$$\eta_\circ(e) = \eta_\circ(f) \cdot \ell_\circ(r_\circ(f)) \quad \text{for } e, f \in E_{l,l+1},\ l \in \mathbb{N} \text{ with } t(f) = s(e), \tag{4.11}$$

$$\eta_\circ(e) = \eta_\circ(e') \quad \text{for } e, e' \in E_{0,1} \text{ with } s(e) = s(e'). \tag{4.12}$$

For a finite directed labeled graph $\mathcal{G} = (V, E, \lambda)$ over alphabet Σ with a labeling map $\lambda : E \to \Sigma$, we have a λ-graph system $\mathfrak{L}_{\mathcal{G}}$ by setting

$$V_l = V, \qquad E_{l,l+1} = E, \qquad \iota = \mathrm{id} \qquad \text{for } l \in \mathbb{Z}_+.$$

G-extension of a finite directed graph is written in [2, p. 6]. That is easily generalized to a finite directed labeled graph. It is direct to see that G-extension of the λ-graph system $\mathfrak{L}_{\mathcal{G}}$ is the λ-graph system of the G-extension of $\mathcal{G}$. Then the condition (4.12) is deduced from (4.11). Hence we have the following corollary.

Corollary 4.4. *Let $\mathcal{G} = (V, E, \lambda)$ be a finite directed labeled graph over Σ with a labeling map $\lambda : E \to \Sigma$, and G be a finite group. Then $\mathcal{G}$ is a G-extension of a finite labeled directed graph $\mathcal{G}_\circ$ over $\Sigma_\circ$ if and only if there exist a free action ρ of G on $\mathcal{G}$ and maps $\eta_\circ : E \to G, r_\circ : E \to \Sigma_\circ$ and $\ell_\circ : \Sigma_\circ \to G$ such that $\Sigma = G \times \Sigma_\circ$ and*

$$\lambda(e) = (\eta_\circ(e), r_\circ(e)), \qquad \eta_\circ(\rho^E_g(e)) = g\eta_\circ(e), \quad r_\circ(\rho^E_g(e)) = r_\circ(e)$$

for $g \in G, e \in E$ and

$$\eta_\circ(e) = \eta_\circ(f) \cdot \ell_\circ(r_\circ(f)) \quad \text{for } e, f \in E \text{ with } t(f) = s(e).$$

5 Subshifts presented by extensions of λ-graph systems

In this section we study the subshifts presented by extensions of λ-graph systems. Let $\mathfrak{L} = (V, E, \lambda, \iota)$ be a λ-graph system over Σ. Suppose that a map $\ell : \Sigma \to G$ is given. Define $\ell_G : E \to G$ by $\ell_G(e) = \ell(\lambda(e)) \in G$ for $e \in E$. Let us denote by $\Lambda^+_{\mathfrak{L}^{G,\ell}}$ the right one-sided subshift defined by the extension $\mathfrak{L}^{G,\ell}$ of λ-graph system $\mathfrak{L}$ by G. The following lemma is obvious.

Lemma 5.1. *For $g_n \in G, e_n \in E_{n-1,n}, n = 1, 2, \dots,$ the sequence $(g_n, e_n) \in E^G_{n-1,n}, n \in \mathbb{N}$ gives an admissible path in the λ-graph system $\mathfrak{L}^{G,\ell}$ if and only if $t(e_n) = s(e_{n+1}), g_{n+1} = g_n\ell_G(e_n)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.*

The above lemma says that $(g_n, \lambda(e_n))_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \in \Lambda^+_{\mathfrak{L}^{G,\ell}}$ if and only if $t(e_n) = s(e_{n+1}), g_{n+1} = g_n\ell_G(e_n)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Lemma 5.2. *The correspondence $\varphi^+_G : (g_n, \lambda(e_n))_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \in \Lambda^+_{\mathfrak{L}^{G,\ell}} \to (g_1, (\lambda(e_n))_{n\in\mathbb{N}}) \in G \times \Lambda^+_{\mathfrak{L}}$ gives rise to a homeomorphism between $\Lambda^+_{\mathfrak{L}^{G,\ell}}$ and $G \times \Lambda^+_{\mathfrak{L}}$.*

Proof. By the preceding lemma, a sequence $(g_n, e_n) \in E^G_{n-1,n}, n \in \mathbb{N}$ defines an element of $\Lambda^+_{\mathfrak{L}^{G,\ell}}$ if and only if $t(e_n) = s(e_{n+1}), g_{n+1} = g_n\ell_G(e_n)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. The condition $g_{n+1} = g_n\ell_G(e_n), n \in \mathbb{N}$ implies $g_n = g_1\ell(\lambda(e_1))\cdots\ell(\lambda(e_{n-1}))$ so that the sequence $(g_1, (\lambda(e_n))_{n\in\mathbb{N}}) \in G \times \Lambda^+_{\mathfrak{L}}$ determines $(g_n, \lambda(e_n))_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \in \Lambda^+_{\mathfrak{L}^G}$. Hence $\varphi^+_G : \Lambda^+_{\mathfrak{L}^{G,\ell}} \to G \times \Lambda^+_{\mathfrak{L}}$ defines a homeomorphism. □

We define G-actions $\rho^{\Lambda^+_{G,\ell}}$ on $\Lambda^+_{\mathfrak{L}^{G,\ell}}$ and $\rho^{G\times\Lambda^+}$ on $G \times \Lambda^+_{\mathfrak{L}}$ by setting

$$\rho_g^{\Lambda^+_{G,\ell}}((a_n, x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}) = (ga_n, x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}, \qquad \rho_g^{G\times\Lambda^+}((a, (x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}})) = (ga, (x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}).$$

Then it is direct to see that the G-actions commute with the homeomorphism $\varphi^+_G : \Lambda^+_{\mathfrak{L}^{G,\ell}} \to G \times \Lambda^+_{\mathfrak{L}}$. Let $\sigma^+_{\mathfrak{L}^{G,\ell}}((g_n, x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}) = (g_{n+1}, x_{n+1})_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ and $\sigma^+_{\mathfrak{L}}((x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}) = (x_{n+1})_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ be the one-sided shifts on $\Lambda^+_{\mathfrak{L}^{G,\ell}}$ and $\Lambda^+_{\mathfrak{L}}$ respectively. We define a map $\tau^+_\ell : \Lambda^+_{\mathfrak{L}} \to G$ by $\tau^+_\ell(x) = \ell(x_1)$ for $x = (x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \in \Lambda^+_{\mathfrak{L}}$. Then we have

Proposition 5.3. $\varphi^+_G \circ \sigma^+_{\mathfrak{L}^{G,\ell}} \circ (\varphi^+_G)^{-1}(g, x) = (g\tau^+_\ell(x), \sigma^+_{\mathfrak{L}}(x))$ *for* $(g, x) \in G \times \Lambda^+_{\mathfrak{L}}$.

Proof. For $g \in G, x = (x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \in \Lambda^+_{\mathfrak{L}}$, we set $(g_n, x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} = (\varphi^+_G)^{-1}(g, x)$, so that

$$g_2 = g\ell(x_1), \quad g_3 = g\ell(x_1)\ell(x_2), \quad \cdots, \quad g_n = g\ell(x_1)\cdots\ell(x_{n-1}),$$

and hence $g_2 = g\tau^+_\ell(x)$. It then follows that

$$\varphi^+_G \circ \sigma^+_{\mathfrak{L}^{G,\ell}} \circ (\varphi^+_G)^{-1}(g, x) = \varphi^+_G((g_{n+1}, x_{n+1})_{n\in\mathbb{N}}) = (g_2, x_{n+1})_{n\in\mathbb{N}} = (g\tau^+_\ell(x), \sigma^+_{\mathfrak{L}}(x)).$$

□

We next consider the two-sided subshifts $\Lambda_{\mathfrak{L}}$ and $\Lambda_{\mathfrak{L}^{G,\ell}}$ presented by the λ-graph systems $\mathfrak{L}$ and $\mathfrak{L}^{G,\ell}$, respectively. They are realized from $\Lambda^+_{\mathfrak{L}}$ and $\Lambda^+_{\mathfrak{L}^{G,\ell}}$ in the following way.

$$\Lambda_{\mathfrak{L}} = \{(x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} \in \Sigma^{\mathbb{Z}} \mid (x_{i+n})_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} \in \Lambda^+_{\mathfrak{L}} \text{ for all } i \in \mathbb{Z}\},$$

and

$$\Lambda_{\mathfrak{L}^{G,\ell}} = \{(g_n, x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} \in (G\times\Sigma)^{\mathbb{Z}} \mid (g_{i+n}, x_{i+n})_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} \in \Lambda^+_{\mathfrak{L}^{G,\ell}} \text{ for all } i \in \mathbb{Z}\},$$

respectively. Then for $g \in G$, the action $\rho_g : \Lambda_{\mathfrak{L}^{G,\ell}} \to \Lambda_{\mathfrak{L}^{G,\ell}}$ defined by $\rho_g((g_n, x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}) = (g \cdot g_n, x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$ satisfies $\rho_g \circ \sigma_{\mathfrak{L}^{G,\ell}} = \sigma_{\mathfrak{L}^{G,\ell}} \circ \rho_g$ for $g \in G$.

Lemma 5.4. *For $g_n \in G, x_n \in \Sigma, n \in \mathbb{Z}$, the sequence $(g_n, x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} \in G\times\Sigma, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ gives rise to an element of $\Lambda_{\mathfrak{L}^{G,\ell}}$ if and only if the following two conditions hold:*

(1) for $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, there exists a sequence $e^i_{n+i} \in E_{n-1,n}, n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $t(e^i_{n+i}) = s(e^i_{n+1+i})$ and $x_{n+i} = \lambda(e^i_{n+i})$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

(2) $g_{n+1} = g_n\ell(x_n)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

We define $\tau_\ell : \Lambda_{\mathfrak{L}} \to G$ by setting $\tau_\ell((x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}) = \ell(x_0)$. We then see the following lemma.

Lemma 5.5. *The map $\varphi_G : \Lambda_{\mathfrak{L}^{G,\ell}} \to G \times \Lambda_{\mathfrak{L}}$ defined by $\varphi_G((g_n, x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}) = (g_0, (x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{Z}})$ for $(g_n, x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} \in \Lambda_{\mathfrak{L}^{G,\ell}}$ yields a homeomorphism between $\Lambda_{\mathfrak{L}^{G,\ell}}$ and $G \times \Lambda_{\mathfrak{L}}$ such that*

$$\varphi_G \circ \sigma_{\mathfrak{L}^{G,\ell}} \circ (\varphi_G)^{-1}(g_0, x) = (g_0\tau_\ell(x), \sigma_{\Lambda_{\mathfrak{L}}}) \qquad \text{for } g_0 \in G, x \in \Lambda_{\mathfrak{L}}.$$

Proof. We first show the injectivity of $\varphi_G : \Lambda_{\mathfrak{L}^{G,\ell}} \to G \times \Lambda_{\mathfrak{L}}$. For $(g_n, x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} \in \Lambda_{\mathfrak{L}^G}$, the equalities $g_n\ell(x_n) = g_{n+1}, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ hold, so that we see

$$\begin{aligned} g_{n+1} &= g_n\ell(x_n) = g_0\ell(x_0)\ell(x_1)\cdots\ell(x_n), \\ g_{-n-1} &= g_{-n}\ell(x_{-n})^{-1} = g_0(\ell(x_{-n-1})\ell(x_{-n})\cdots\ell(x_{-1}))^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $g_n, g_{-n}, n = 1, 2, \dots$ are determined by $g_0, x_n, n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$. Therefore we know that $\varphi_G : \Lambda_{\mathfrak{L}^{G,\ell}} \to G \times \Lambda_{\mathfrak{L}}$ is injective and hence a homeomorphism.

For $g_0 \in G, x = (x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \in \Lambda_{\mathfrak{L}}$, put $(g_n, x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} = \varphi_G^{-1}(g_0, (x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{Z}})$ so that $g_n\ell(x_n) = g_{n+1}, n \in \mathbb{Z}$. It then follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_G \circ \sigma_{\mathfrak{L}^{G,\ell}} \circ \varphi_G^{-1}(g_0, x) &= \varphi_G((g_{n+1}, x_{n+1})_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}) \\ &= (g_1, (x_{n+1})_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}) \\ &= (g_0\ell(x_0), (\sigma_{\mathfrak{L}}(x_n))_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}) \\ &= (g_0\tau_\ell(x), \sigma_{\mathfrak{L}}(x)) \end{aligned}$$

so that we have $\varphi_G \circ \sigma_{\mathfrak{L}^{G,\ell}} \circ \varphi_G^{-1}(g_0, x) = (g_0\tau_\ell(x), \sigma_{\mathfrak{L}}(x))$. □

Since the above homeomorphism $\varphi_G : \Lambda_{\mathfrak{L}^{G,\ell}} \to G \times \Lambda_{\mathfrak{L}}$ commutes with their G-actions, we see the following result.

Theorem 5.6. *The subshift $(\Lambda_{\mathfrak{L}^{G,\ell}}, \sigma_{\mathfrak{L}^{G,\ell}})$ presented by the G-extension $\mathfrak{L}^{G,\ell}$ of λ-graph system $\mathfrak{L}$ by a map $\ell : \Sigma \to G$ is G-conjugate to the skew product $(G \ltimes \Lambda_{\mathfrak{L}}, \tau_\ell \ltimes \sigma_{\mathfrak{L}})$. Hence the subshift presented by a G-λ-graph system is a G-subshift.*

6 G-Strong shift equivalence

In this section, we first introduce two kinds of notions of G-strong shift equivalences between symbolic matrix systems over $\mathbb{Z}_+G$. Both of them are generalizations of G-strong shift equivalence for finite symbolic matrices over $\mathbb{Z}_+G$. They are defined by analogous ways to the strong shift equivalences between symbolic matrices.

Let $\psi : \Sigma_1 \to \Sigma_2$ be a specification from an alphabet Σ_1 to an alphabet Σ_2. Suppose that maps $\ell_1 : \Sigma_1 \to G$ and $\ell_2 : \Sigma_2 \to G$ are given. If the equality $\ell_1 = \ell_2 \circ \psi$ holds, the specification ψ is said to be compatible to the maps ℓ_1 and ℓ_2. In what follows, we fix a finite group G. Let $(\mathcal{M}, I)$ and $(\mathcal{M}', I')$ be symbolic matrix systems over Σ and Σ', respectively. Suppose that maps $\ell : \Sigma \to G$ and $\ell' : \Sigma' \to G$ are given. By relabeling $\alpha \in \Sigma$ and $\alpha' \in \Sigma'$ by $\ell(\alpha) \in G$ and $\ell'(\alpha') \in G$, respectively, we may regard the symbolic matrix systems $(\mathcal{M}, I)$ and $(\mathcal{M}', I')$ as those over $\mathbb{Z}_+G$, respectively. The relabeled symbolic matrix systems are denoted by $(\mathcal{M}^\ell, I)$ and $(\mathcal{M}'^{\ell'}, I')$, respectively. Each entry $\mathcal{M}^\ell_{l,l+1}(i,j)$ of the matrix $\mathcal{M}^\ell_{l,l+1}$ is

$$\mathcal{M}^\ell_{l,l+1}(i,j) = \ell(\alpha_1) + \cdots + \ell(\alpha_n) \quad \text{if} \quad \mathcal{M}_{l,l+1}(i,j) = \alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_n.$$

Similarly the matrix $\mathcal{M}'^{\ell'}_{l,l+1}$ is defined. Let $m(l)$ and $m'(l)$ be the sequences for which $\mathcal{M}_{l,l+1}, I_{l,l+1}$ are $m(l) \times m(l+1)$ matrices and $\mathcal{M}'_{l,l+1}, I'_{l,l+1}$ are $m'(l) \times m'(l+1)$ matrices, respectively.

Definition 6.1. Symbolic matrix systems $(\mathcal{M}^\ell, I)$ and $(\mathcal{M}'^{\ell'}, I')$ over $\mathbb{Z}_+G$ are said to be *properly G-strong shift equivalent in 1-step*, written as $(\mathcal{M}^\ell, I) \underset{G,1-pr}{\approx} (\mathcal{M}'^{\ell'}, I')$, if the following two conditions hold:

(1) there exist sequences of matrices $\mathcal{P}_l, \mathcal{Q}_l, X_l, X'_l$ for each $l \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ and specifications $\varphi : \Sigma \to C{\cdot}D$, $\phi : \Sigma' \to D{\cdot}C$ satisfying (2.4),(2.5), (2.6), that is $(\mathcal{M}, I) \underset{1-pr}{\approx} (\mathcal{M}', I')$,

(2) there exist maps $\ell_C : C \to G, \ell_D : D \to G$ such that $\varphi : \Sigma \to C \cdot D$ is compatible to ℓ and ℓ_{CD}, where ℓ_{CD} is defined by $\ell_{CD}(cd) = \ell_C(c)\ell_D(d), c \in C, d \in D$, and similarly $\phi : \Sigma' \to D \cdot C$ is compatible to ℓ' and ℓ_{DC}, and the equalities

$$\mathcal{M}^\ell_{l,l+1} = \mathcal{P}^{\ell_C}_{2l}\mathcal{Q}^{\ell_D}_{2l+1}, \qquad \mathcal{M}'^{\ell'}_{l,l+1} = \mathcal{Q}^{\ell_D}_{2l}\mathcal{P}^{\ell_C}_{2l+1} \tag{6.1}$$

hold for $l \in \mathbb{Z}_+$.

Symbolic matrix systems $(\mathcal{M}^\ell, I)$ and $(\mathcal{M}'^{\ell'}, I')$ over $\mathbb{Z}_+G$ are said to be *properly G-strong shift equivalent in N-step*, written as $(\mathcal{M}^\ell, I) \underset{G,N-pr}{\approx} (\mathcal{M}'^{\ell'}, I')$, if there exists an N-string of properly G-strong shift equivalences in 1-step connecting between $(\mathcal{M}^\ell, I)$ and $(\mathcal{M}'^{\ell'}, I')$. We simply call it a *properly G-strong shift equivalence.* It is straightforward to see that properly G-strong shift equivalence is an equivalence relation in the set of symbolic matrix systems over $\mathbb{Z}_+G$.

We will next introduce the notion of G-strong shift equivalence between two symbolic matrix systems that is simpler and weaker than properly G-strong shift equivalence.

Definition 6.2. Symbolic matrix systems $(\mathcal{M}^\ell, I)$ and $(\mathcal{M}'^{\ell'}, I')$ over $\mathbb{Z}_+G$ are said to be *G-strong shift equivalent in 1-step*, written as $(\mathcal{M}^\ell, I) \underset{G,1-st}{\approx} (\mathcal{M}'^{\ell'}, I')$, if the following two conditions hold:

(1) there exist sequences of matrices $\mathcal{H}_l, \mathcal{K}_l$ for each $l \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ and specifications $\varphi : \Sigma \to C \cdot D$, $\phi : \Sigma' \to D \cdot C$ satisfying (2.7),(2.8), that is $(\mathcal{M}, I) \underset{1-st}{\approx} (\mathcal{M}', I')$,

(2) there exist maps $\ell_C : C \to G, \ell_D : D \to G$ such that $\varphi : \Sigma \to C \cdot D$ is compatible to ℓ and ℓ_{CD}, and similarly $\phi : \Sigma' \to D \cdot C$ is compatible to ℓ' and ℓ_{DC}, and the equalities

$$I_{l-1,l}\mathcal{M}^{\ell}{}_{l,l+1} = \mathcal{H}_l^{\ell_C}\mathcal{K}_{l+1}^{\ell_D}, \qquad I'_{l-1,l}\mathcal{M}'^{\ell'}_{l,l+1} = \mathcal{K}_l^{\ell_D}\mathcal{H}_{l+1}^{\ell_C} \tag{6.2}$$

hold for $l \in \mathbb{Z}_+$.

Symbolic matrix systems $(\mathcal{M}^{\ell}, I)$ and $(\mathcal{M}'^{\ell'}, I')$ over $\mathbb{Z}_+G$ are said to be *G-strong shift equivalent in N-step*, written as $(\mathcal{M}^{\ell}, I) \underset{G,N-st}{\approx} (\mathcal{M}'^{\ell'}, I')$, if there exists an N-string of G-strong shift equivalences in 1-step connecting between $(\mathcal{M}^{\ell}, I)$ and $(\mathcal{M}'^{\ell'}, I')$. We simply call it a *$G$-strong shift equivalence*. Similarly to the case of properly G-strong shift equivalence, G-strong shift equivalence in symbolic matrix systems over $\mathbb{Z}_+G$ is an equivalence relation.

Proposition 6.3. *Properly G-strong shift equivalence in 1-step implies G-strong shift equivalence in 1-step.*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{P}_l, \mathcal{Q}_l, X_l$ and X'_l be the matrices in Definition 6.1 of properly G-strong shift equivalence in 1-step between $(\mathcal{M}^{\ell}, I)$ and $(\mathcal{M}', I')$. We set

$$\mathcal{H}_l = X_{2l-1}\mathcal{P}_{2l-1}, \qquad \mathcal{K}_l = X'_{2l-1}\mathcal{Q}_{2l-1} \quad \text{for } l \in \mathbb{N}.$$

They give rise to a G-strong shift equivalence in 1-step between $(\mathcal{M}^{\ell}, I)$ and $(\mathcal{M}'^{\ell'}, I')$. □

Conversely we know the following proposition. Since its proof is similar to that of [20, Theorem 4.3], we omit it.

Proposition 6.4 (cf. [20])**.** *Let $(\mathcal{M}^{\ell}, I)$ and $(\mathcal{M}'^{\ell'}, I')$ be the symbolic matrix systems over $\mathbb{Z}_+G$. Suppose that their respect λ-graph systems $\mathfrak{L}$ and $\mathfrak{L}'$ for $(\mathcal{M}, I)$ and $(\mathcal{M}', I')$ are left-resolving and predecessor-separated. The following are equivalent:*

(i) $(\mathcal{M}^{\ell}, I)$ and $(\mathcal{M}'^{\ell'}, I')$ are properly G-strong shift equivalent in l-step.

(ii) $(\mathcal{M}^{\ell}, I)$ and $(\mathcal{M}'^{\ell'}, I')$ are G-strong shift equivalent in l-step.

Hence the two notions, properly G-strong shift equivalence and G-strong shift equivalence, coincide with each other in the canonical symbolic matrix systems with a map $\ell : \Sigma \to G$.

Let $\mathfrak{L}$ and $\mathfrak{L}'$ be λ-graph systems over Σ and Σ' with maps $\ell : \Sigma \to G$ and $\ell' : \Sigma' \to G$, respectively. Denote by $(\mathcal{M}^{\ell}, I)$ and $(\mathcal{M}'^{\ell'}, I')$ their respect symbolic matrix systems over $\mathbb{Z}_+G$. Define the skewing functions $\tau_\ell : \Lambda_{\mathfrak{L}} \to G$ and $\tau_{\ell'} : \Lambda_{\mathfrak{L}'} \to G$ by

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_\ell((x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}) &= \ell(x_0), \qquad (x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} \in \Lambda_{\mathfrak{L}}, \\ \tau_{\ell'}((y_n)_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}) &= \ell'(y_0), \qquad (y_n)_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} \in \Lambda_{\mathfrak{L}'}. \end{aligned}$$

Suppose that $(\mathcal{M}^{\ell}, I)$ and $(\mathcal{M}'^{\ell'}, I')$ are properly G-strong shift equivalence in 1-step. Since $(\mathcal{M}, I)$ and $(\mathcal{M}', I')$ are properly strong shift equivalent, there exists a topological conjugacy $\Phi : \Lambda_{\mathfrak{L}} \to \Lambda_{\mathfrak{L}'}$ between their presenting subshifts coming from the half-shift: $(c_n d_n)_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} \to (d_n c_{n+1})_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$. It is called the forward bipartite conjugacy in [26](cf. [18]).

Lemma 6.5. *Suppose that $(\mathcal{M}^\ell, I)$ and $(\mathcal{M}'^{\ell'}, I')$ are properly G-strong shift equivalence in 1-step. Then there exists a map $b : \Sigma \to G$ such that*

$$\tau_\ell(x) = \gamma_b(x)\tau_{\ell'}(\Phi(x))\gamma_b(\sigma_{\Lambda_{\mathfrak{L}}}(x))^{-1}, \qquad x \in \Lambda_{\mathfrak{L}}, \tag{6.3}$$

where $\Phi : \Lambda_{\mathfrak{L}} \to \Lambda_{\mathfrak{L}'}$ is the forward bipartite conjugacy and $\gamma_b : \Lambda_{\mathfrak{L}} \to G$ is defined by $\gamma_b((x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}) = b(x_0)$.

Proof. Assume that symbolic matrix systems $(\mathcal{M}^\ell, I)$ and $(\mathcal{M}'^{\ell'}, I')$ are properly G-strong shift equivalent in 1-step. Since $(\mathcal{M}, I) \underset{1-pr}{\approx} (\mathcal{M}', I')$, their presenting subshifts $\Lambda_{\mathfrak{L}}$ and $\Lambda_{\mathfrak{L}'}$ are bipartitely related as in the proof of [18, Theorem 4.1]. Through the specifications $\varphi : \Sigma \to C \cdot D$ and $\phi : \Sigma' \to D \cdot C$, for any $(x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} \in \Lambda_{\mathfrak{L}}$ we may write $\varphi(x_n) = c_n d_n, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ for some $c_n \in C, d_n \in D, n \in \mathbb{Z}$. By putting $y_n = \phi^{-1}(d_n c_{n+1}), n \in \mathbb{Z}$, we know that $(y_n)_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$ belongs to $\Lambda_{\mathfrak{L}'}$. The forward bipartite conjugacy $\Phi : \Lambda_{\mathfrak{L}} \to \Lambda_{\mathfrak{L}'}$ is defined by $\Phi((x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}) = (y_n)_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$. We set $b : \Sigma \to G$ by $b(x_0) = \ell_C(c_0)$. Since $\tau_\ell((x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}) = \ell_{CD}(\varphi(x_0)) = \ell_{CD}(c_0 d_0)$ and $\tau_{\ell'}((y_n)_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}) = \ell'(y_0) = \ell_{DC}(d_0 c_1)$, by setting $\gamma_b((x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}) = b(x_0) \in G$, the following equalities hold:

$$\begin{aligned}
\tau_{\ell'}(\Phi((x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{Z}})) &= \ell_{DC}(d_0 c_1) \\
&= \ell_C(c_0)^{-1}\ell_C(c_0)\ell_D(d_0)\ell_C(c_1) \\
&= \ell_C(c_0)^{-1}\ell_{CD}(c_0 d_0)\ell_C(c_1) \\
&= \gamma_b((x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{Z}})^{-1}\tau_\ell((x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{Z}})\gamma_b((x_{n+1})_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}).
\end{aligned}$$

This shows the equality (6.3). □

Proposition 6.6. *Suppose that $(\mathcal{M}^\ell, I)$ and $(\mathcal{M}'^{\ell'}, I')$ are properly G-strong shift equivalent. Then there exist a topological conjugacy $\Phi : \Lambda_{\mathfrak{L}} \to \Lambda_{\mathfrak{L}'}$ and a continuous function $\gamma : \Lambda_{\mathfrak{L}} \to G$ such that*

$$\tau_\ell(x) = \gamma(x)\tau_{\ell'}(\Phi(x))\gamma(\sigma_{\Lambda_{\mathfrak{L}}}(x))^{-1}, \qquad x \in \Lambda_{\mathfrak{L}}. \tag{6.4}$$

Proof. Assume that $(\mathcal{M}^\ell, I)$ and $(\mathcal{M}'^{\ell'}, I')$ are properly G-strong shift equivalent in N-step. There exists a finite sequence of symbolic matrix systems $(\mathcal{M}_n, I_n)$ over Σ_n and maps $\ell_n : \Sigma_n \to G$ for $n = 1, \dots, N$ such that

$$\begin{gathered}
(\mathcal{M}, I) = (\mathcal{M}_1, I_1), \quad \ell = \ell_1, \qquad (\mathcal{M}', I') = (\mathcal{M}_N, I_N), \quad \ell' = \ell_N, \\
(\mathcal{M}_n^{\ell_n}, I_n) \underset{G,1-pr}{\approx} (\mathcal{M}_{n+1}^{\ell_{n+1}}, I_{n+1}) \qquad \text{for } n = 1, \dots N-1.
\end{gathered}$$

Let $\mathfrak{L}_n$ be the associated λ-graph system to $(\mathcal{M}_n, I_n), n = 1, \dots, N$. By Lemma 6.5, there exist a finite sequence of bipartite conjugacies $\Phi_n : \Lambda_{\mathfrak{L}_n} \to \Lambda_{\mathfrak{L}_{n+1}}$ and continuous maps $\gamma_n : \Lambda_{\mathfrak{L}_n} \to G$ for $n = 1, \dots, N$ such that

$$\tau_{\ell_n}(x) = \gamma_n(x)\tau_{\ell_{n+1}}(\Phi_n(x))\gamma_n(\sigma_{\Lambda_{\mathfrak{L}_n}}(x))^{-1}, \qquad x \in \Lambda_{\mathfrak{L}_n}. \tag{6.5}$$

Put

$$\begin{aligned}
&\gamma(x) = \gamma_1(x)\gamma_2(\Phi_1(x))\gamma_3(\Phi_2(\Phi_1(x)))\cdots\gamma_N((\Phi_{N-1}\circ\cdots\circ\Phi_1)(x)), \\
&\Phi(x) = (\Phi_{N-1}\circ\cdots\circ\Phi_1)(x), \qquad x \in \Lambda_{\mathfrak{L}_1}.
\end{aligned}$$

We have

$$\tau_{\ell_1}(x) = \gamma(x)\tau_{\ell_N}(\Phi(x))\gamma(\sigma_{\Lambda_{\mathfrak{L}_1}}(x))^{-1}, \qquad x \in \Lambda_{\mathfrak{L}_1}.$$

Since $\tau_\ell = \tau_{\ell_1}$, $\tau_{\ell'} = \tau_{\ell_N}$, the equality (6.4) holds. □

To study the converse implication to the above proposition, we provide some lemmas.

Lemma 6.7. *Let Λ be a subshift over Σ and maps $\ell : \Sigma \to G, \ell' : \Sigma \to G$ be given. Suppose that a continuous map $\gamma : \Lambda \to G$ satisfies*

$$\tau_\ell(x) = \gamma(x)\tau_{\ell'}(x)\gamma(\sigma(x))^{-1}, \qquad x \in \Lambda. \tag{6.6}$$

Take $x = (x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}, y = (y_n)_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} \in \Lambda$.

(i) If $x_n = y_n$ for all $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots,$ we have $\gamma(x) = \gamma(y)$.

(ii) If $x_n = y_n$ for all $n = -1, -2, -3, \dots,$ we have $\gamma(x) = \gamma(y)$.

Proof. We set $\tau(x) = \tau_\ell(x), \tau'(x) = \tau_{\ell'}(x)$.

(i) We define $\tau^n(x) = \tau(x)\tau(\sigma(x))\cdots\tau(\sigma^{n-1}(x))$ and $\tau'^n(x)$ is similarly defined. By (6.6), we have

$$\gamma(x) = \tau^n(x)\gamma(\sigma^n(x))\tau'^n(x)^{-1}, \qquad x \in \Lambda. \tag{6.7}$$

Since both $\tau(x)$ and $\tau'(x)$ are determined at the 0th coordinate x_0 of x, the condition $x_n = y_n, n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ ensures us $\tau^n(x) = \tau^n(y)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and similarly $\tau'^n(x) = \tau'^n(y)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. Now $\gamma : \Lambda \to G$ is continuous, $\gamma(x)$ is determined by finite coordinates of x so that one may take $L \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\gamma(\sigma^L(x)) = \gamma(\sigma^L(y))$, because $x_n = y_n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. Hence $\gamma(x) = \tau^L(x)\gamma(\sigma^L(x))\tau'^L(x)^{-1}$. Since $\tau^L(x) = \tau^L(y)$ and $\tau'^L(x) = \tau'^L(y)$, we get $\gamma(x) = \gamma(y)$.

(ii) By (6.6), we have

$$\gamma(x) = \tau(\sigma^{-1}(x))^{-1}\gamma(\sigma^{-1}(x))\tau'(\sigma^{-1}(x))^{-1}, \qquad x \in \Lambda. \tag{6.8}$$

We define $\tau^{-n}(x) = \tau(\sigma^{-n}(x))\cdots\tau(\sigma^{-2}(x))\tau(\sigma^{-1}(x))$ and $\tau'^{-n}(x)$ is similarly defined, so that we have

$$\gamma(x) = \tau^{-n}(x)^{-1}\gamma(\sigma^{-n}(x))\tau'^{-n}(x), \qquad x \in \Lambda. \tag{6.9}$$

Since $x_n = y_n$ for all $n = -1, -2, \dots,$ we have $\tau^{-n}(x) = \tau^{-n}(y)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\tau'^{-n}(x) = \tau'^{-n}(y)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. Similarly to the above discussion, one may take $L \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\gamma(\sigma^{-L}(x)) = \gamma(\sigma^{-L}(y))$ and hence $\gamma(x) = \tau^{-L}(x)^{-1}\gamma(\sigma^{-L}(x))\tau'^L(x)$. Since $\tau^{-L}(x) = \tau^{-L}(y)$ and $\tau'^{-L}(x) = \tau'^{-L}(y)$, we get $\gamma(x) = \gamma(y)$. □

For $x = (x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} \in \Lambda$, we set $x^+ = (x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{Z}_+} \in \Lambda^+$. For $K \le L$, let us denote by $x_{[K,L]}$ the finite word $(x_K, x_{K+1}, \dots, x_L) \in B_{L-K+1}(\Lambda)$ for $x = (x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$.

Lemma 6.8. *Let Λ be a subshift over Σ and maps $\ell : \Sigma \to G, \ell' : \Sigma \to G$ be given. Suppose that a continuous map $\gamma : \Lambda \to G$ satisfies the equality* (6.6)*. Then there exists $L \in \mathbb{N}$ such thst for $x, y \in \Lambda$ and $l \ge L$, if $x^+ \sim_l y^+$ l-past equivalent, then $\gamma(x) = \gamma(y)$.*

Proof. We set $\tau(x) = \tau_\ell(x), \tau'(x) = \tau_{\ell'}(x)$. Since $\gamma : \Lambda \to G$ is continuous, γ is determined by the window $[-L_1, L_1]$ between $-L_1$th coordinate and L_1th coordinate. Hence the equality $\gamma(x) = \gamma(y)$ holds for $x, y \in \Lambda$ with $x_{[-L_1,L_1]} = y_{[-L_1,L_1]}$. Put $L = 2L_1 + 1$. Suppose that $x_{[-L,-1]} = y_{[-L,-1]}$. We have

$$\tau^{-L_1-1}(x) = \tau^{-L_1-1}(y), \qquad {\tau'}^{-L_1-1}(x) = {\tau'}^{-L_1-1}(y)$$

and

$$\sigma^{-L_1-1}(x)_{[-L_1,L_1]} = x_{[-L,-1]} = y_{[-L,-1]} = \sigma^{-L_1-1}(y)_{[-L_1,L_1]}.$$

By (6.9), we get $\gamma(x) = \gamma(y)$. For $l \ge L$, suppose that $x^+ \sim_l y^+$ l-past equivalent. One may find a word $\mu \in B_L(\Lambda)$ and $\tilde{x}, \tilde{y} \in \Lambda$ such that $\tilde{x}_{[-L,-1]} = \mu, \tilde{x}^+ = x^+$ and $\tilde{y}_{[-L,-1]} = \mu, \tilde{y}^+ = y^+$. Hence the equality $\gamma(\tilde{x}) = \gamma(\tilde{y})$ holds. Since $\tilde{x}_n = x_n$ for all $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ we have $\gamma(\tilde{x}) = \gamma(x)$ and similarly $\gamma(\tilde{y}) = \gamma(y)$, so that $\gamma(x) = \gamma(y)$. ☐

By the above lemma, $\gamma : \Lambda \to G$ defines a sequence of maps $\gamma_l : V_l^\Lambda \to G$ from the l-past equivalence classes of Λ to G for $l \ge L$. Let us denote by $[x^+]_l$ the l-past equivalence class of x^+. We may write $\gamma(x) = \gamma([x^+]_l)$.

Lemma 6.9. *Let $(\mathcal{M}, I)$ be the canonical symbolic matrix system for a subshift (Λ, σ) over Σ. Let maps $\ell : \Sigma \to G, \ell' : \Sigma \to G$ be given. If there exists a map $b : \Sigma \to G$ satisfying*

$$\tau_\ell(x) = \gamma_b(x)\tau_{\ell'}(x)\gamma_b(\sigma(x))^{-1}, \qquad x \in \Lambda, \tag{6.10}$$

then their respect symbolic matrix systems $(\mathcal{M}^\ell, I)$ and $(\mathcal{M}^{\ell'}, I)$ are properly G-strong shift equivalent in 1-step, where $\gamma_b : \Lambda \to G$ is defined by $\gamma_b((x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}) = b(x_0)$.

Proof. Let us denote by $\mathfrak{L}^\Lambda = (V^\Lambda, E^\Lambda, \lambda^\Lambda, \iota^\Lambda)$ the canonical λ-graph system for Λ. As the continuous map $\gamma_b : \Lambda \to G$ is determined by the window $[-L_1, L_1]$ for $L_1 = 0$, one may take L in the previous lemma, as $L = 2 \cdot 0 + 1 = 1$. Let $v_{i(x)}^l$ denotes the vertex $[x^+]_l$ in V_l^Λ determined by the l-past equivalence class of x^+. Since $\gamma(x) = \gamma_l([x^+]_l)$, the equality (6.10) implies that

$$\ell(x_0) = \gamma([x^+]_l)\ell'(x_0)\gamma([\sigma(x)^+]_{l+1})^{-1}, \qquad x \in \Lambda. \tag{6.11}$$

We set the $m(l) \times m(l)$ diagonal matrix $D_l = [D_l(i,i)]_{i=1}^{m(l)}$ with its diagonal entries in G for which the $(i(x), i(x))$-component is $\gamma([x]_l)$. The definition of $D_l(i,i)$ is well-defined by the preceding lemma. For $x = (x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} \in \Lambda$, the right one-sided sequence $x^+ = (x_0, x_1, \dots) \in \Lambda^+$ defines an edge $e_{i,j} \in E_{l,l+1}^\Lambda$ such that

$$s(e_{ij}) = v_i^l = [x^+]_l, \qquad t(e_{ij}) = v_j^{l+1} = [\sigma(x)^+]_{l+1}, \qquad \lambda^\Lambda(e_{ij}) = x_0,$$

because $x_0 F_j^{l+1} \subset F_i^l$, where $F_i^l = [x^+]_l, F_j^{l+1} = [\sigma(x)^+]_{l+1}$. The equality (6.11) means

$$\ell(\lambda(e_{i,j})) = D_l(i,i)\ell'(\lambda(e_{i,j}))D_{l+1}(j,j)^{-1} \tag{6.12}$$

which is also written

$$\ell(x_0) = b(x_0)\ell'(x_0))b(x_1)^{-1}. \tag{6.13}$$

The equality (6.12) implies

$$\mathcal{M}^{\ell}_{l,l+1}(i,j) = D_l(i,i)\mathcal{M}^{\ell'}_{l,l+1}(i,j)D_{l+1}(j,j)^{-1} \tag{6.14}$$

so that

$$\mathcal{M}^{\ell}_{l,l+1} = D_l\mathcal{M}^{\ell'}_{l,l+1}D^{-1}_{l+1}. \tag{6.15}$$

Let $C = G\Sigma = \{g\alpha \mid g \in G, \alpha \in \Sigma\}$ and $D = G$. Define specifications $\varphi : \Sigma \to C \cdot D$ and $\phi : \Sigma \to D \cdot C$ by setting $\varphi(x_0) = b(x_0)x_0 \cdot b(x_1)^{-1}$ and $\phi(x_0) = b(x_0)^{-1} \cdot b(x_0)x_0$. By (6.13), $b(x_1)^{-1}$ is determined by x_0 so that φ is well-defined. We define symbolic matrices $\mathcal{P}_k, \mathcal{Q}_k$ by

$$\mathcal{P}_k = D_l\mathcal{M}_{l,l+1} \quad \text{if } k = 2l, 2l+1,$$
$$\mathcal{Q}_k = \begin{cases} D_l^{-1} & \text{if } k = 2l, \\ D_{l+1}^{-1} & \text{if } k = 2l+1 \end{cases}$$

and matrices X_k, X'_k by

$$X_k = \begin{cases} E_l & \text{if } k = 2l, \\ I_{l,l+1} & \text{if } k = 2l+1, \end{cases}$$
$$X'_k = \begin{cases} I_{l,l+1} & \text{if } k = 2l, \\ E_l & \text{if } k = 2l+1, \end{cases}$$

where E_l denotes the $m(l) \times m(l)$ identity matrix. The matrices $\mathcal{P}_k, \mathcal{Q}_k, X_k, X'_k$ satisfy the conditions (2.4), (2.5), (2.6) for the symbolic matrix system $(\mathcal{M}, I) = (\mathcal{M}', I')$. We set $\ell_C : C \to G$, $\ell_D : D \to G$ by

$$\ell_C(b(x_0)x_0) = b(x_0)\ell'(x_0), \qquad \ell_D = \text{id}.$$

The equality (6.13) shows that

$$\ell(x_0) = \ell_C(b(x_0)x_0)\ell_D(b(x_1)^{-1}) = \ell_{CD}(\varphi(x_0)),$$
$$\ell'(x_0) = b(x_0)^{-1} \cdot b(x_0)\ell'(x_0) = \ell_{DC}(\phi(x_0))$$

so that φ is compatible to ℓ and ℓ_{CD} and ϕ is compatible to ℓ' and ℓ_{DC}. The equality (6.15) implies

$$\mathcal{M}^{\ell}_{l,l+1} = \mathcal{P}^{\ell_C}_{2l}\mathcal{Q}^{\ell_D}_{2l+1}, \qquad \mathcal{M}^{\ell'}_{l,l+1} = \mathcal{Q}^{\ell_D}_{2l}\mathcal{P}^{\ell_C}_{2l+1}$$

hold for $l \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. Therefore the symbolic matrix systems $(\mathcal{M}^{\ell}, I)$ and $(\mathcal{M}^{\ell'}, I)$ are properly G-strong shift equivalent in 1-step. □

In [20, Theorem 7.1], it has been shown that a topological conjugacy between two subshifts is decomposed into a finite chain of properly strong shift equivalences on their canonical symbolic matrix systems. Each of the properly strong shift equivalences comes from one of the four operations, merged in-splittings, merged out-splittings, merged in-amalgamations and merged out-amalgamations on their canonical symbolic matrix systems. We may show that the four operations, in-splittings, out-splittings, in-amalgamations and out-amalgamations, induce properly G-strong shift equivalences. In the following lemma, we will prove it in the case of in-splittings. The other three cases are similarly shown. Let $(\mathcal{M}, I)$ be the symbolic matrix system for a λ-graph system $\mathfrak{L}$ over Σ. For a partition $\mathcal{P}$ of Σ, we have the in-splitting λ-graph system $\mathfrak{L}_{[\mathcal{P}]}$ over alphabet $\Sigma_{\mathcal{P}} = \mathcal{P} \times \Sigma$ of $\mathfrak{L}$ by $\mathcal{P}$ ([20, Section 5]). Let us denote by $(\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{P}}, I_{\mathcal{P}})$ the associated symbolic matrix systems.

Lemma 6.10. *Keep the above situation. Suppose that a map $\ell : \Sigma \to G$ is given.*

(i) There exists a map $\ell_{\mathcal{P}} : \Sigma_{\mathcal{P}} \to G$ such that the symbolic matrix systems $(\mathcal{M}^{\ell}, I)$ and $(\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{P}}^{\ell_{\mathcal{P}}}, I_{\mathcal{P}})$ are properly G-strong shift equivalent.

(ii) There exists a map $b : \Sigma \to G$ such that

$$\tau_{\ell}(x) = \gamma_b(x)\tau_{\ell_{\mathcal{P}}}(\Phi(x))\gamma_b(\sigma_{\Lambda_{\mathfrak{L}}}(x))^{-1}, \qquad x \in \Lambda_{\mathfrak{L}} \tag{6.16}$$

where $\Phi : \Lambda_{\mathfrak{L}} \to \Lambda_{\mathfrak{L}_{[\mathcal{P}]}}$ is the forward bipartite conjugacy arising from the in-splitting of $\mathfrak{L}$.

Proof. (i) We put $C = \Sigma, D = \mathcal{P}$. Let us denote by $[\alpha]$ the partition class of a symbol $\alpha \in \Sigma$ in $\mathcal{P}$. Let us define the specification $\varphi : \Sigma \to C \cdot D$ and $\phi : \Sigma_{\mathcal{P}} \to D \cdot C$ by $\varphi(\alpha) = \alpha \cdot [\alpha]$ and $\phi(p, \alpha) = (p, \alpha)$. The specifications φ, ϕ yield the properly strong shift equivalence between $(\mathcal{M}, I)$ and $(\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{P}}, I_{\mathcal{P}})$ ([20]). We set $\ell_C : C \to G$ and $\ell_D : D \to G$ by $\ell_C = \ell$ and $\ell_D(p) = 1$ the unit of G for all $p \in \mathcal{P}$. We define $\ell_{\mathcal{P}} : \Sigma_{\mathcal{P}} \to G$ by $\ell_{\mathcal{P}}(p, c) = \ell(c)$. We then have for $c \in C$ and $p \in \mathcal{P}$

$$\begin{aligned}\ell_{CD} \circ \varphi(c) &= \ell_{CD}(c[c]) = \ell_C(c)\ell_D([c]) = \ell_C(c) = \ell(c),\\ \ell_{DC} \circ \phi(p, c) &= \ell_{DC}(p \cdot c) = \ell_D(p)\ell_C(c) = 1 \cdot \ell_C(c) = \ell_{\mathcal{P}}(p, c).\end{aligned}$$

Hence φ is compatible to ℓ and ℓ_{CD}, and ϕ is compatible to $\ell_{\mathcal{P}}$ and ℓ_{DC}. As in [20, p. 1568], the λ-graph systems $\mathfrak{L}$ and $\mathfrak{L}_{[\mathcal{P}]}$ are bipartitely related, there exist symbolic matrices $\mathcal{P}_l$ over C and $\mathcal{Q}_l$ over D which give rise to properly strong shift equivalence between $(\mathcal{M}, I)$ and $(\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{P}}, I_{\mathcal{P}})$. Since

$$\mathcal{M}_{l,l+1} \overset{\varphi}{\simeq} \mathcal{P}_{2l}\mathcal{Q}_{2l+1}, \qquad \mathcal{M}_{[\mathcal{P}],l,l+1} \overset{\phi}{\simeq} \mathcal{Q}_{2l}\mathcal{P}_{2l+1}$$

and $\ell_{CD} \circ \varphi = \ell, \ell_{DC} \circ \phi = \ell_{[\mathcal{P}]}$ we have

$$\mathcal{M}_{l,l+1}^{\ell} = \mathcal{P}_{2l}^{\ell_C}\mathcal{Q}_{2l+1}^{\ell_D}, \qquad \mathcal{M}_{[\mathcal{P}],l,l+1}^{\ell_{[\mathcal{P}]}} = \mathcal{Q}_{2l}^{\ell_D}\mathcal{P}_{2l+1}^{\ell_C},$$

so that $(\mathcal{M}^{\ell}, I)$ and $(\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{P}}^{\ell_{[\mathcal{P}]}}, I_{\mathcal{P}})$ are properly G-strong shift equivalent.

(ii) By Lemma 6.5, there exists the desired map $b : \Sigma \to G$ satisfying the equality (6.16). $\square$

We then have the following proposition.

Proposition 6.11. *Let $(\mathcal{M}, I)$ and $(\mathcal{M}', I')$ be the canonical symbolic matrix systems for subshifts Λ and Λ' respectively. Let maps $\ell : \Sigma \to G, \ell' : \Sigma' \to G$ be given. Suppose that there exist a topological conjugacy $\Phi : \Lambda \to \Lambda'$ and a continuous map $\gamma : \Lambda \to G$ such that*

$$\tau_{\ell}(x) = \gamma(x)\tau_{\ell'}(\Phi(x))\gamma(\sigma(x))^{-1}, \qquad x \in \Lambda. \tag{6.17}$$

Then their respect symbolic matrix systems $(\mathcal{M}^{\ell}, I), (\mathcal{M}'^{\ell'}, I')$ are properly G-strong shift equivalent.

Proof. Since $\mathit{\Phi} : \Lambda \to \Lambda'$ is a topological conjugacy, one knows that the canonical symbolic matrix systems $(\mathcal{M}, I), (\mathcal{M}', I')$ are properly strong shift equivalent. By [20, Theorem 7.1], properly strong shift equivalence between $(\mathcal{M}, I)$ and $(\mathcal{M}', I')$ is given by a finite chain of the four operations, merged out-splittings, merged in-splittings, merged out-amalgamations and merged in-amalgamations. This means that there exists a finite sequence $\Lambda_0, \Lambda_1, \dots, \Lambda_N$ of subshifts such that $\Lambda_0 = \Lambda$ and $\Lambda_N = \Lambda'$ and their canonical λ-graph systems $\mathfrak{L}^{\Lambda_i}$ and $\mathfrak{L}^{\Lambda_{i+1}}$ are related by one of the four operations. Denote by $(\mathcal{M}_i, I_i)$ the associated symbolic matrix system for $\mathfrak{L}^{\Lambda_i}$. Suppose that $(\mathcal{M}_{i+1}, I_{i+1})$ is obtained from $(\mathcal{M}_i, I_i)$ by an in-splitting on their λ-graph systems. By the previous lemma, for a given $\ell_i : \Sigma_i \to G$, there exist maps $\ell_{i+1} : \Sigma_{i+1} \to G$ and $b_i : \Sigma_i \to G$ such that

$$\tau_{\ell_i}(x) = \gamma_{b_i}(x)\tau_{\ell_{i+1}}(\mathit{\Phi}_i(x))\gamma_{b_i}(\sigma_i(x))^{-1}, \qquad x \in \Lambda_i, \tag{6.18}$$

for $i = 0, 1, \dots, N-1$, where $\mathit{\Phi}_i : \Lambda_i \to \Lambda_{i+1}$ are the associated bipartite conjugacies to the four operations. Since the merged operation defined in [20] does not change the presenting subshifts, one knows that if $(\mathcal{M}_{i+1}, I_{i+1})$ is obtained from $(\mathcal{M}_i, I_i)$ by an merged in-splitting on their λ-graph systems, the same equality as (6.18) holds. Similar arguments work for the other three operations, merged out-splittings, merged in-amalgamations and merged out-amalgamations. Since the given topological conjugacy $\mathit{\Phi} : \Lambda \to \Lambda'$ is the compositions of the bipartite conjugacies $\mathit{\Phi}_i, i = 1, \dots, N$, by starting a given map $\ell : \Sigma \to G$ denoted by ℓ_0 and the subshift $\Lambda = \Lambda_0$, we finally obtain a map $\ell_N : \Sigma_N = \Sigma' \to G$ and a continuous map $\gamma_N : \Lambda \to G$ such that

$$\tau_\ell(x) = \gamma_N(x)\tau_{\ell_N}(\mathit{\Phi}(x))\gamma_N(\sigma(x))^{-1}, \qquad x \in \Lambda. \tag{6.19}$$

By combining the given identity (6.17), we have

$$\tau_{\ell'}(\mathit{\Phi}(x)) = \gamma(x)^{-1}\gamma_N(x)\tau_{\ell_N}(\mathit{\Phi}(x))\gamma_N(\sigma(x))^{-1}\gamma(\sigma(x)), \qquad x \in \Lambda. \tag{6.20}$$

By putting $\gamma'(y) = \gamma(\mathit{\Phi}^{-1}(y))^{-1}\gamma_N(\mathit{\Phi}^{-1}(y))$, we get

$$\tau_{\ell'}(y) = \gamma'(y)\tau_{\ell_N}(y)\gamma'(\sigma'(y))^{-1}, \qquad y \in \Lambda'. \tag{6.21}$$

Since the operations of higher block systems are obtained by in-splittings or out-splittings of λ-graph systems, one may assume that the above map γ' is a one-block map. By Lemma 6.9, we see that $(\mathcal{M}'^{\ell'}, I')$ and $(\mathcal{M}'^{\ell_N}, I')$ are properly G-strong shift equivalent. Since $(\mathcal{M}_i^{\ell_i}, I_i) \underset{G,1-pr}{\approx} (\mathcal{M}_{i+1}^{\ell_{i+1}}, I_{i+1})$ for $i = 0, 1, \dots, N-1$ and $(\mathcal{M}^\ell, I) = (\mathcal{M}_0^{\ell_0}, I_0), (\mathcal{M}_N^{\ell_N}, I_N) = (\mathcal{M}'^{\ell_N}, I')$ we conclude that $(\mathcal{M}^\ell, I) \underset{G,N-pr}{\approx} (\mathcal{M}'^{\ell'}, I')$. ☐

Therefore we have the following theorem:

Theorem 6.12. *Let G be a finite group. Let $\mathfrak{L}$ and $\mathfrak{L}'$ be λ-graph systems over Σ and Σ' respectively. Suppose that maps $\ell : \Sigma \to G$ and $\ell' : \Sigma' \to G$ are given. Let $(\mathcal{M}^\ell, I)$ and $(\mathcal{M}'^{\ell'}, I')$ be their symbolic matrix systems over $\mathbb{Z}_+G$ through the maps ℓ and ℓ', respectively. Consider the following three conditions:*

(1) $(\mathcal{M}^\ell, I)$ and $(\mathcal{M}'^{\ell'}, I')$ are properly G-strong shift equivalent.

(2) *There exists a topological conjugacy* $\Phi : \Lambda_{\mathfrak{L}} \to \Lambda_{\mathfrak{L}'}$ *such that* τ_ℓ *is cohomologous to* $\tau_{\ell'} \circ \Phi$.

(3) *The G-subshifts* $\Lambda_{\mathfrak{L}^{G,\ell}}$ *and* $\Lambda_{\mathfrak{L}'^{G,\ell'}}$ *are G-conjugate.*

Then we have

$$(1) \Longrightarrow (2) \Longleftrightarrow (3).$$

If in particular, $\mathfrak{L}$ *and* $\mathfrak{L}'$ *are both the canonical* λ*-graph systems, we have* $(2) \Longrightarrow (1)$.

Proof. The implications $(2) \Longleftrightarrow (3)$ come from Proposition 3.3. The implication $(1) \Longrightarrow (2)$ comes from Proposition 6.6. In case that both $\mathfrak{L}$ and $\mathfrak{L}'$ are the canonical λ-graph systems, the associated symbolic matrix systems $(\mathcal{M}, I)$ and $(\mathcal{M}', I')$ are the canonical symbolic matrix systems for $\Lambda_{\mathfrak{L}}$ and $\Lambda_{\mathfrak{L}'}$ respectively. Hence the implication $(2) \Longrightarrow (1)$ in this case follows from Proposition 6.11. □

7 Example and Markov–Dyck shifts

In the first half of this section, we present an extension of a certain λ-graph system presenting Dyck shift D_2 by a finite group. In the second half of this section, we study G-conjugacy classes of extensions of a family of nonsofic subshifts called Markov–Dyck shifts.

1. The Dyck shift D_2 is a typical example of a non-sofic subshift (cf. [11], [14], [23]). We consider the Dyck shift D_2 with alphabet $\Sigma = \Sigma^- \cup \Sigma^+$ where $\Sigma^- = \{\alpha_1, \alpha_2\}, \Sigma^+ = \{\beta_1, \beta_2\}$. The symbols α_i, β_i correspond to the brackets $(_i,)_i$ respectively. To define the Dyck shift, we use the Dyck inverse monoid $\mathbb{D}_2$ which is a monoid having the relations

$$\alpha_i \beta_j = \begin{cases} \mathbf{1} & \text{if } i = j, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \tag{7.1}$$

for $i, j = 1, 2$ ([11], [14]). A word $\omega_1 \cdots \omega_n$ of Σ is admissible for D_2 precisely if $\prod_{m=1}^n \omega_m \neq 0$. For a word $\omega = \omega_1 \cdots \omega_n$ of Σ, we denote by $\tilde{\omega}$ its reduced form. Namely $\tilde{\omega}$ is a word of $\Sigma \cup \{0, \mathbf{1}\}$ obtained after the operations (7.1). Hence a word ω of Σ is forbidden for D_2 if and only if $\tilde{\omega} = 0$. There are two typical λ-graph systems presenting D_2. One is the canonical λ-graph system $\mathfrak{L}^{D_2}$, and the other one is so called the Cantor horizon λ-graph system written $\mathfrak{L}^{Ch(D_2)}$. The former one corresponds to the left Krieger cover and the latter one does to the left Fischer cover. Although the former $\mathfrak{L}^{D_2}$ is not irreducible as a λ-graph system, the latter $\mathfrak{L}^{Ch(D_2)}$ is irreducible so that the associated C^*-algebra $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{L}^{Ch(D_2)}}$ is simple and purely infinite ([19]). In this section, we treat the latter one $\mathfrak{L}^{Ch(D_2)}$.

Let us describe the Cantor horizon λ-graph system $\mathfrak{L}^{Ch(D_2)}$ of D_2 which has been introduced in [14] (cf. [23]). Let Σ_2 be the full 2-shift $\{1, 2\}^{\mathbb{Z}}$. We denote by $B_l(D_2)$ and $B_l(\Sigma_2)$ the set of admissible words of length l of D_2 and that of Σ_2 respectively. The vertices V_l of $\mathfrak{L}^{Ch(D_2)}$ at level l are given by the words of length l consisting of the symbols of Σ^+ such as

$$V_l = \{(\beta_{\mu_1} \cdots \beta_{\mu_l}) \in B_l(D_2) \mid \mu_1 \cdots \mu_l \in B_l(\Sigma_2)\}.$$

Hence the cardinal number of V_l is 2^l. The mapping $\iota (= \iota_{l,l+1}) : V_{l+1} \to V_l$ is defined by deleting the rightmost symbol of a word such as

$$\iota(\beta_{\mu_1} \cdots \beta_{\mu_{l+1}}) = (\beta_{\mu_1} \cdots \beta_{\mu_l}), \qquad (\beta_{\mu_1} \cdots \beta_{\mu_{l+1}}) \in V_{l+1}.$$

There exists an edge labeled α_j from $(\beta_{\mu_1} \cdots \beta_{\mu_l}) \in V_l$ to $(\beta_{\mu_0}\beta_{\mu_1} \cdots \beta_{\mu_l}) \in V_{l+1}$ precisely if $\mu_0 = j$, and there exists an edge labeled β_j from $(\beta_j\beta_{\mu_1} \cdots \beta_{\mu_{l-1}}) \in V_l$ to $(\beta_{\mu_1} \cdots \beta_{\mu_{l+1}}) \in V_{l+1}$. The resulting labeled Bratteli diagram with ι-map becomes a λ-graph system over Σ which presents the Dyck shift D_2. It is called the Cantor horizon λ-graph system for D_2, denoted by $\mathfrak{L}^{Ch(D_2)}$ in [13]. Throughout the rest of this section, we denote the λ-graph system $\mathfrak{L}^{Ch(D_2)}$ by $\mathfrak{L} = (V, E, \lambda, \iota)$ for brevity.

Let G be the finite abelian group $\mathbb{Z}_2 = \{0, 1\} = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ of order two. We will define a map $\ell : \Sigma \to \mathbb{Z}_2$ by

$$\ell(\alpha_1) = \ell(\beta_1) = 1, \qquad \ell(\alpha_2) = \ell(\beta_2) = 0. \tag{7.2}$$

Let us consider the extension $\mathfrak{L}^{\mathbb{Z}_2} = (V^{\mathbb{Z}_2}, E^{\mathbb{Z}_2}, \iota^{\mathbb{Z}_2}, \lambda^{\mathbb{Z}_2})$ of the λ-graph system $\mathfrak{L}(= \mathfrak{L}^{Ch(D_2)})$ by the map ℓ. The alphabet $\Sigma^{\mathbb{Z}_2}$ of $\mathfrak{L}^{\mathbb{Z}_2}$ is $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \Sigma$, and $V^{\mathbb{Z}_2} = \mathbb{Z}_2 \times V_l, E^{\mathbb{Z}_2} = \mathbb{Z}_2 \times E_{l,l+1}$. In what follows, we will study the extension $\mathfrak{L}^{\mathbb{Z}_2}$. The map $\varphi_V : V_l^{\mathbb{Z}_2} \to V_{l+1}$ is given by the composition $\varphi_V = \xi_V \circ \eta_V$ of the maps

$$\eta_V : V_l^{\mathbb{Z}_2} \to V_{l+1}, \qquad \xi_V : V_{l+1} \to V_{l+1}$$

defined below. The former η_V is defined by

$$\eta_V(g, \gamma_1 \cdots \gamma_l) = \begin{cases} (\beta_2\gamma_1 \cdots \gamma_l) & \text{if } g = 0, \\ (\beta_1\gamma_1 \cdots \gamma_l) & \text{if } g = 1 \end{cases}$$

for $g \in \mathbb{Z}_2 = \{0, 1\}, (\gamma_1 \cdots \gamma_l) \in B_l(\Sigma_+)$. The latter ξ_V is defined by the successive operations of the words of length two:

$$\beta_1\beta_1 \to \beta_1\beta_2, \qquad \beta_1\beta_2 \to \beta_1\beta_1$$

from the leftmost of words in V_{l+1}. We denote by $\overline{\beta_1\beta_1} = \beta_1\beta_2, \overline{\beta_1\beta_2} = \beta_1\beta_1$. The operation ξ_V acts on words of V from the leftmost successively such as

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_1\beta_2\beta_2\beta_1\beta_1\beta_1\beta_2\beta_1 &\to \overline{\beta_1\beta_2}\beta_2\beta_1\beta_1\beta_1\beta_2\beta_1 = \beta_1\beta_1\beta_2\beta_1\beta_1\beta_1\beta_2\beta_1 \\ &\to \beta_1\overline{\beta_1\beta_2}\beta_1\beta_1\beta_1\beta_2\beta_1 = \beta_1\beta_1\beta_1\beta_1\beta_1\beta_1\beta_2\beta_1 \\ &\to \beta_1\beta_1\overline{\beta_1\beta_1}\beta_1\beta_1\beta_2\beta_1 = \beta_1\beta_1\beta_1\beta_2\beta_1\beta_1\beta_2\beta_1 \\ &\to \beta_1\beta_1\beta_1\beta_2\overline{\beta_1\beta_1}\beta_2\beta_1 = \beta_1\beta_1\beta_1\beta_2\beta_1\beta_2\beta_2\beta_1. \end{aligned}$$

We next define a map $\varphi_V : \Sigma^{\mathbb{Z}_2}(= \{0, 1\} \times \Sigma) \to \Sigma$ as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_\Sigma(0, \beta_2) = \varphi_\Sigma(0, \beta_1) = \beta_2, \qquad & \varphi_\Sigma(1, \beta_2) = \varphi_\Sigma(1, \beta_1) = \beta_1, \\ \varphi_\Sigma(0, \alpha_2) = \varphi_\Sigma(1, \alpha_1) = \alpha_2, \qquad & \varphi_\Sigma(1, \alpha_2) = \varphi_\Sigma(0, \alpha_1) = \alpha_1 \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 7.1. *There exists a bijective correspondence* $\varphi_E : E_{l-1,l}^{\mathbb{Z}_2} \to E_{l,l+1}$ *satisfying*

$$\varphi_V(s(e^g)) = s(\varphi_E(e^g)), \qquad \varphi_V(t(e^g)) = t(\varphi_E(e^g)), \qquad \varphi_\Sigma(\lambda^{\mathbb{Z}_2}(e^g)) = \lambda(\varphi_E(e^g)),$$

for $e^g = (g, e) \in E_{l-1,l}^{\mathbb{Z}_2} = \mathbb{Z}_2 \times E_{l-1,l}$.

Proof. Tak an arbitrary fixed ege $e^g = (g, e) \in E^{\mathbb{Z}_2}_{l-1,l} = \mathbb{Z}_2 \times E_{l-1,l}$. The edge (g, e) has four cases for $g = 0, 1$, $\lambda(e) = \alpha_j, \beta_j, j = 1, 2$ in the following way.

Case 1: $(g, \lambda(e)) = (0, \beta_j), j = 1, 2$.
There exists $\gamma \in B_{l-2}(\Sigma_+)$ and $\eta \in B_2(\Sigma_+)$ such that $s(e) = \beta_j\gamma \in V_{l-1}$ and $t(e) = \gamma\eta \in V_l$. We then have

$$\begin{aligned} s(e^g) &= (0, s(e)) = (0, \beta_j\gamma), \\ t(e^g) &= (0 + \ell_{\mathbb{Z}_2}(e), t(e)) = (0 + \ell(\beta_j), \gamma\eta) = (j, \gamma\eta) \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_V(s(e^g)) &= \varphi_V(0, \beta_j\gamma) = \xi_V(\beta_2\beta_j\gamma) = \beta_2\beta_j\xi_V(\gamma), \\ \varphi_V(t(e^g)) &= \varphi_V(j, \gamma\eta) = \xi_V(\beta_j\gamma\eta) = \beta_j\xi_V(\gamma\eta). \end{aligned}$$

Hence there exists a unique edge written $\varphi_E(e^g)$ in $E_{l,l+1}$ such that

$$s(\varphi_E(e^g)) = \beta_2\beta_j\xi_V(\gamma), \qquad t(\varphi_E(e^g)) = \beta_j\xi_V(\gamma\eta),$$
$$\lambda(\varphi_E(e^g)) = \beta_2 = \varphi_\Sigma(\lambda^{\mathbb{Z}_2}(e^g)).$$

Case 2: $(g, \lambda(e)) = (1, \beta_j), j = 1, 2$.
There exists $\gamma \in B_{l-2}(\Sigma_+)$ and $\eta \in B_2(\Sigma_+)$ such that $s(e) = \beta_j\gamma \in V_{l-1}$ and $t(e) = \gamma\eta \in V_l$. We then have

$$\begin{aligned} s(e^g) &= (1, s(e)) = (1, \beta_j\gamma), \\ t(e^g) &= (1 + \ell_{\mathbb{Z}_2}(e), t(e)) = (1 + \ell(\beta_j), \gamma\eta) = (1 + j, \gamma\eta) \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_V(s(e^g)) &= \varphi_V(1, \beta_j\gamma) = \xi_V(\beta_1\beta_j\gamma) = \beta_1\beta_{1+j}\xi_V(\gamma), \\ \varphi_V(t(e^g)) &= \varphi_V(1 + j, \gamma\eta) = \xi_V(\beta_{1+j}\gamma\eta) = \beta_{1+j}\xi_V(\gamma\eta). \end{aligned}$$

Hence there exists a unique edge written $\varphi_E(e^g)$ in $E_{l,l+1}$ such that

$$s(\varphi_E(e^g)) = \beta_1\beta_{1+j}\xi_V(\gamma), \qquad t(\varphi_E(e^g)) = \beta_{1+j}\xi_V(\gamma\eta),$$
$$\lambda(\varphi_E(e^g)) = \beta_1 = \varphi_\Sigma(\lambda^{\mathbb{Z}_2}(e^g)).$$

Case 3: $(g, \lambda(e)) = (0, \alpha_j), j = 1, 2$.
There exists $\zeta \in B_{l-1}(\Sigma_+)$ such that $s(e) = \zeta \in V_{l-1}$ and $t(e) = \beta_j\zeta \in V_l$. We then have

$$\begin{aligned} s(e^g) &= (0, s(e)) = (0, \zeta), \\ t(e^g) &= (0 + \ell_{\mathbb{Z}_2}(e), t(e)) = (0 + \ell(\alpha_j), \beta_j\zeta) = (j, \beta_j\zeta) \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_V(s(e^g)) &= \varphi_V(0, \zeta) = \xi_V(\beta_2\zeta) = \beta_2\xi_V(\zeta), \\ \varphi_V(t(e^g)) &= \varphi_V(j, \beta_j\zeta) = \xi_V(\beta_j\beta_j\zeta) = \beta_j\beta_2\xi_V(\zeta). \end{aligned}$$

Hence there exists a unique edge written $\varphi_E(e^g)$ in $E_{l,l+1}$ such that

$$s(\varphi_E(e^g)) = \beta_2\xi_V(\zeta), \qquad t(\varphi_E(e^g)) = \beta_j\beta_2\xi_V(\zeta),$$
$$\lambda(\varphi_E(e^g)) = \alpha_j = \varphi_\Sigma(\lambda^{\mathbb{Z}_2}(e^g)).$$

Case 4: $(g, \lambda(e)) = (1, \alpha_j), j = 1, 2.$
There exists $\zeta \in B_{l-1}(\Sigma_+)$ such that $s(e) = \zeta \in V_{l-1}$ and $t(e) = \beta_j\zeta \in V_l$. We then have

$$\begin{aligned}&s(e^g) = (1, s(e)) = (1, \zeta),\\ &t(e^g) = (1 + \ell_{\mathbb{Z}_2}(e), t(e)) = (1 + \ell(\alpha_j), \beta_1\zeta) = (1 + j, \beta_j\zeta)\end{aligned}$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned}&\varphi_V(s(e^g)) = \varphi_V(1, \zeta) = \xi_V(\beta_1\zeta) = \beta_1\xi_V(\zeta),\\ &\varphi_V(t(e^g)) = \varphi_V(1 + j, \beta_j\zeta) = \xi_V(\beta_{1+j}\beta_j\zeta) = \beta_{1+j}\beta_1\xi_V(\zeta).\end{aligned}$$

Hence there exists a unique edge written $\varphi_E(e^g)$ in $E_{l,l+1}$ such that

$$s(\varphi_E(e^g)) = \beta_1\xi_V(\zeta), \qquad t(\varphi_E(e^g)) = \beta_{1+j}\beta_1\xi_V(\zeta),$$
$$\lambda(\varphi_E(e^g)) = \alpha_{1+j} = \varphi_\Sigma(\lambda^{\mathbb{Z}_2}(e^g)).$$

It is routine to check that the correspondence $\varphi_E : E^{\mathbb{Z}_2}_{l-1,l} \to E_{l,l+1}$ satisfies the desired properties. ☐

Let us denote by $\mathfrak{L}^{Ch(D_2)}_1$ the λ-graph system $(V^{Ch(D_2)}_1, E^{Ch(D_2)}_1, \lambda^{Ch(D_2)}_1, \iota^{Ch(D_2)}_1)$ obtained from $\mathfrak{L}^{Ch(D_2)}$ by shifting $\mathfrak{L}^{Ch(D_2)}$ upward in one-step. Their vertices and edge sets are defined by

$$V^{Ch(D_2)}_{1,l} = V^{Ch(D_2)}_{l+1}, \qquad E^{Ch(D_2)}_{1,l,l+1} = E^{Ch(D_2)}_{l+1,l+2}, \qquad l \in \mathbb{Z}_+.$$

The maps $\lambda^{Ch(D_2)}_1, \iota^{Ch(D_2)}_1$ are induced from $\lambda^{Ch(D_2)}, \iota^{Ch(D_2)}$ in a natural way, respectively. We know the following proposition from the above lemma.

Proposition 7.2. *The $\mathbb{Z}_2$-extension $(\mathfrak{L}^{Ch(D_2)})^{\mathbb{Z}_2,\ell}$ of the Cantor horizon λ-graph system $\mathfrak{L}^{Ch(D_2)}$ by the function ℓ defined by (7.2) is isomorphic to the λ-graph system $\mathfrak{L}^{Ch(D_2)}_1$ obtained from $\mathfrak{L}^{Ch(D_2)}$ by shifting upward in one-step up to labeling.*

Let us denote by $\tau : D_2 \to \mathbb{Z}_2$ the skewing function defined by $\tau((x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}) = \ell(x_0)$ for $(x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$. By Theorem 5.6, the $\mathbb{Z}_2$-extension $D_2^{\mathbb{Z}_2,\tau}$ of D_2 by τ is presented by the the $\mathbb{Z}_2$-extension $\mathfrak{L}^{Ch(D_2),\ell}$ of the λ-graph system $\mathfrak{L}^{Ch(D_2)}$ by ℓ. The map $\varphi_E : E^{\mathbb{Z}_2}_{l,l+1}$ induces a factor map from the $\mathbb{Z}_2$-extension $D_2^{\mathbb{Z}_2,\tau}$ onto D_2.

2. Let $A = [A(i,j)]_{i,j=1}^N$ be an $N \times N$ matrix with entries in nonnegative integers. The matrix defines a finite directed graph $\mathcal{G}_A = (V_A, E_A)$ with vertex set V_A having N vertices and edge set $E_A = \{e_1, \dots, e_{N_A}\}$ such that the number of the edges from v_i to v_j is $A(i,j)$ for $i, j = 1, \dots, N$. The Markov–Dyck shifts are generalization of Dyck shifts, and their concatenating bracket rules come from the directed graph $\mathcal{G}_A$ (see [7], [12], [16], [25]). We will briefly review the Markov–Dyck shift D_A for the matrix A. Let us denote by $A^{\mathcal{G}}$ the $N_A \times N_A$ transition matrix defined by

$$A^{\mathcal{G}}(i,j) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } t(e_i) = s(e_j), \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \tag{7.3}$$

Let $S_i, i = 1, \dots, N_A$ be a family of partial isometries satisfying the relations

$$\sum_{j=1}^{N_A} S_j S_j^* = 1, \qquad S_i^* S_i = \sum_{j=1}^{N_A} A^{\mathcal{G}}(i,j) S_j S_j^*, \qquad i = 1, \dots, N_A. \tag{7.4}$$

They are generating family of the Cuntz–Krieger algebra $\mathcal{O}_{A^{\mathcal{G}}}$. We set the symbols

$$\alpha_i = S_i^*, \qquad \beta_i = S_i, \qquad i = 1, \dots, N_A$$

and $\Sigma^- = \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{N_A}\}, \Sigma^+ = \{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_{N_A}\}, \Sigma = \Sigma^- \cup \Sigma^+$. Let $\mathfrak{F}_A$ be the set of words $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n$ of Σ such that the product $\gamma_1 \cdots \gamma_n$ in the algebra $\mathcal{O}_{A^{\mathcal{G}}}$ is 0. The Markov–Dyck shift D_A is defined by a subshift over Σ whose forbidden words are $\mathfrak{F}_A$. If $A = [N]$ the 1×1 matrix with entry $N > 1$. The Markov–Dyck shift D_A becomes the Dyck shift D_N. If A is irreducible and not any permutation matrix, the subshift D_A is not sofic ([25]). Since $S_{i_1} \cdots S_{i_n} \neq 0$ if and only if $A^{\mathcal{G}}(i_1, i_2) \cdots A^{\mathcal{G}}(i_{n-1}, i_n) \neq 0$, the subset of D_A consisting of biinfinite sequences of Σ^+ forms the SFT Λ_A for the original matrix A. Similarly the subset D_A consisting of biinfinite sequences of Σ^- forms the SFT Λ_{A^t} for the transposed matrix A^t of A. Hence the Markov–Dyck shift D_A contains the two SFTs Λ_A and Λ_{A^t} as its subsystems.

Let G be a finite group. Suppose that maps $\ell_- : \Sigma^- \longrightarrow G$, $\ell_+ : \Sigma^+ \longrightarrow G$ are given, so that the map combined with them $\ell : \Sigma \longrightarrow G$ is obtained. Define $\tau_\ell : D_A \longrightarrow G$ by setting $\tau_\ell((\gamma_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}) = \ell(\gamma_0)$. As in Section 3, the skewing function τ_ℓ defines a G-subshift $D_A^{G,\tau_\ell} (= (G \ltimes D_A, \tau_\ell \ltimes \sigma_{D_A}))$. Since both of the SFTs Λ_A and Λ_{A^t} are subsystems of D_A, the restrictions of τ_ℓ to them yield their skewing functions written τ_{ℓ_A} and $\tau_{\ell_{A^t}}$, respectively, so that we have two G-SFTs $\Lambda_A^{G,\tau_{\ell_A}}$ and $\Lambda_{A^t}^{G,\tau_{\ell_{A^t}}}$ from the skewing functions.

Let A' be another irreducible square matrix with entries in nonnegative integers. We similarly have a directed graph $\mathcal{G}_{A'} = (V_{A'}, E_{A'})$ and symbol sets $\Sigma'^- = \{\alpha'_1, \dots, \alpha'_{N_{A'}}\}, \Sigma'^+ = \{\beta'_1, \dots, \beta'_{N_{A'}}\}, \Sigma' = \Sigma'^- \cup \Sigma'^+$. We have the Markov–Dyck shift $D_{A'}$ and two SFTs $\Lambda_{A'}$ and $\Lambda_{A'^t}$ as its subsystems. W. Krieger has shown that the following classification result for Markov–Dyck shifts.

Proposition 7.3 ([12, Corollary 3.2], cf. [7])**.** *Let A and A' be two irreducible square matrices with entries in nonnegative integers. Assume that each vertex of both of their directed graphs $\mathcal{G}_A$ and $\mathcal{G}_{A'}$ has at least two in-coming edges. Then the Markov–Dyck shifts D_A and $D_{A'}$ are topologically conjugate if and only if the directed graphs $\mathcal{G}_A$ and $\mathcal{G}_{A'}$ are isomorphic as directed graphs.*

For matrix A', suppose that maps $\ell'_- : \Sigma'^- \longrightarrow G$, $\ell'_+ : \Sigma'^+ \longrightarrow G$ are given, so that the map combined with them $\ell' : \Sigma \longrightarrow G$ is obtained, and we have a G-subshift $D_{A'}^{G,\tau_{\ell'}}$ and two G-SFTs $\Lambda_{A'}^{G,\tau_{\ell_{A'}}}$ and $\Lambda_{A'^t}^{G,\tau_{\ell_{A'^t}}}$ as seen in the preceding discussions. By using the above proposition, we see the following lemma.

Lemma 7.4. *Assume that each vertex of both of the directed graphs $\mathcal{G}_A$ and $\mathcal{G}_{A'}$ has at least two in-coming edges. If there exists a topological conjugacy $\Phi : D_A \longrightarrow D_{A'}$ such that τ_ℓ is cohomologous to $\tau_{\ell'} \circ \Phi$ in $C(D_A, G)$, then there exists a topological conjugacies $\Phi_+ : \Lambda_A \longrightarrow \Lambda_{A'}$ and $\Phi_- : \Lambda_{A^t} \longrightarrow \Lambda_{A'^t}$ such that τ_{ℓ_A} on Λ_A is cohomologous to $\tau_{\ell'_{A'}} \circ \Phi_+$ in $C(\Lambda_A, G)$ and $\tau_{\ell_{A^t}}$ on Λ_{A^t} is cohomologous to $\tau_{\ell'_{A'^t}} \circ \Phi_-$ in $C(\Lambda_{A^t}, G)$.*

Proof. By the preceding proposition and its proof in [12, Corollary 3.2], the conjugacy $\Phi : D_A \longrightarrow D_{A'}$ is induced by a one-block map which gives rise to topological conjugacies $\Lambda_A \longrightarrow \Lambda_{A'}$ and $\Lambda_{A^t} \longrightarrow \Lambda_{A'^t}$ of its subsystems as SFTs. They are given by restricting Φ to Λ_A and $\Lambda_{A'}$, respectively, so that τ_{ℓ_A} on Λ_A is cohomologous to $\tau_{\ell'_{A'}} \circ \Phi_+$ in $C(\Lambda_A, G)$ and $\tau_{\ell_{A^t}}$ on Λ_{A^t} is cohomologous to $\tau_{\ell'_{A'^t}} \circ \Phi_-$ in $C(\Lambda_{A^t}, G)$. ☐

We thus have

Proposition 7.5. *Assume that each vertex of both of the directed graphs $\mathcal{G}_A$ and $\mathcal{G}_{A'}$ has at least two in-coming edges. If G-subshifts D_A^{G,τ_ℓ} and $D_{A'}^{G,\tau_{\ell'}}$ are G-conjugate, then the two G-SFTs $\Lambda_A^{G,\tau_{\ell_A}}$ and $\Lambda_{A'}^{G,\tau_{\ell'_{A'}}}$ are G-conjugate, and also the two G-SFTs $\Lambda_{A^t}^{G,\tau_{\ell_{A^t}}}$ and $\Lambda_{A'^t}^{G,\tau_{\ell'_{A'^t}}}$ are G-conjugate.*

Proof. The assertion follows from Theorem 5.6. ☐

Corollary 7.6. *Let A be an $N \times N$ irreducible matrix with entries in nonnegative integers such that $\sum_{i=1}^N A(i,j) \geq 2$ for each $j = 1, \dots, N$. Then the G-conjugacy class of G-SFT $\Lambda_A^{G,\tau_{\ell_A}}$ is an invariant of the G-conjugacy class of G-subshift D_A^{G,τ_ℓ} of the Markov–Dyck shift D_A. Hence for maps $\ell^1, \ell^2 : \Sigma^- \cup \Sigma^+ \longrightarrow G$, if two G-SFTs $\Lambda_A^{G,\tau_{\ell^1_A}}$ and $\Lambda_A^{G,\tau_{\ell^2_A}}$ are not G-conjugate, then the G-subshifts $D_A^{G,\tau_{\ell^1}}$ and $D_A^{G,\tau_{\ell^2}}$ are not G-conjugate.*

In the remainder of this section, we will give an example of a pair of G-extensions of a non sofic subshift which are not G-conjugate by using Corollary 7.6. Let $A = [2]$ the 1×1 matrix with its entry 2. The associated directed graph $\mathcal{G}_{[2]} = (V_{[2]}, E_{[2]})$ has one vertex v and two directed self-loops e_1, e_2 around v, so that $V_{[2]} = \{v\}, E_{[2]} = \{e_1, e_2\}$. The subshift Λ_A of the directed graph is the full 2-shift $\Lambda_{[2]}$. Let $G = \mathbb{Z}_2 = \{0, 1\}$. Define $\ell^i : E_{[2]} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2$ for $i = 1, 2$ by setting

$$\ell^1(e_1) = \ell^1(e_2) = 0, \qquad \ell^2(e_1) = 1, \quad \ell^2(e_2) = 0.$$

We will first see that the maps $\tau_{\ell^1}, \tau_{\ell^2} : \Lambda_{[2]} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2$ defined by $\tau_{\ell^i}((x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}) = \ell^i(x_0), i = 1, 2$ are not cohomologous to each other. Suppose that there exists a continuous function $\eta : \Lambda_{[2]} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2$ such that

$$\tau_{\ell^2}(x) = \eta(x) + \tau_{\ell^1}(x) - \eta(\sigma_{[2]}(x)), \qquad x = (x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} \in \Lambda_{[2]}.$$

Take the element $x = (x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} \in \Lambda_{[2]}$ such that $x_n = e_1, n \in \mathbb{Z}$, so that we have $\tau_{\ell^2}(x) = \ell^2(x_0) = \ell^2(e_1) = 1$ and $\tau_{\ell^1}(x) = \ell^1(x_0) = \ell^1(e_1) = 0$. Hence we have $\eta(x) + \tau_{\ell^1}(x) - \eta(\sigma_{[2]}(x)) = \eta(x) - \eta(x) = 0$, a contradiction. Hence τ_{ℓ^1} is not cohomologous to τ_{ℓ^2}. By Theorem 1.1, the G-SFTs $\Lambda_{[2]}^{\mathbb{Z}_2,\tau_{\ell^1}}$ and $\Lambda_{[2]}^{\mathbb{Z}_2,\tau_{\ell^2}}$ are not G-conjugate. Let us consider the Markov–Dyck shift D_A for $A = [2]$. In this case, it is the Dyck shift D_2. Define $\ell^i_- : \Sigma^- \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2$ and $\ell^i_+ : \Sigma^- \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2$ for $i = 1, 2$ by setting

$$\ell^1_-(\alpha_1) = \ell^1_-(\alpha_2) = \ell^1_+(\beta_1) = \ell^1_+(\beta_2) = 0, \tag{7.5}$$

$$\ell^2_-(\alpha_1) = 1, \qquad \ell^2_-(\alpha_2) = 0, \qquad \ell^2_+(\beta_1) = 1, \qquad \ell^2_+(\beta_2) = 0. \tag{7.6}$$

The restrictions of the skewing functions τ_{ℓ^i} on D_A to the full 2-shift $\Lambda_{[2]}$ are the same as the above skewing functions τ_{ℓ^i} on $\Lambda_{[2]}$. Since the G-SFTs $\Lambda_{[2]}^{\mathbb{Z}_2,\tau_{\ell^1}}$ and $\Lambda_{[2]}^{\mathbb{Z}_2,\tau_{\ell^2}}$ are not G-conjugate, Corollary 7.6 ensures us the following Proposition.

Proposition 7.7. *Let* $\ell^1, \ell^2 : \{\alpha_1, \alpha_2\} \cup \{\beta_1, \beta_2\} \to \mathbb{Z}_2$ *be the map defined by* (7.5), (7.6), *and* $\tau_{\ell^1}, \tau_{\ell^2}$ *the associated skewing functions on the Dyck shift* D_2. *Then the G-subshifts* $D_2^{\mathbb{Z}_2,\tau_{\ell^1}}$ *and* $D_2^{\mathbb{Z}_2,\tau_{\ell^2}}$ *are not G-conjugate for* $G = \mathbb{Z}_2$.

By the same idea as above, we have many non G-conjugate G-extensions of a Markov–Dyck shift from a family of non G-conjugate G-extensions of SFTs. We note that non G-conjugate SFTs are studied in [3] (cf. [2]).

8 Concluding Remark

As in [19], a general λ-graph system $\mathfrak{L}$ naturally gives rise to a C^*-algebra $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{L}}$. The class of these C^*-algebras $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{L}}$ is a generalized class of Cuntz–Krieger algebras $\mathcal{O}_A$, which are associated to finite directed graphs with transition matrix A. Let $\mathfrak{L} = (V, E, \lambda, \iota)$ be a λ-graph system over Σ and G be a finite group. Suppose that a map $\ell : \Sigma \to G$ is given. In this final section, we remark that there is a relationship between the two C^*-algebras $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{L}}$ and $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{L}^{G,\ell}}$.

Let us now briefly review the C^*-algebra $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{L}}$ associated with λ-graph system $\mathfrak{L}$. We denote by $\{v^l_1, \dots, v^l_{m(l)}\}$ the vertex set V_l. Define the structure matrices $A_{l,l+1}, I_{l,l+1}$ of $\mathfrak{L}$ by setting for $i = 1, 2, \dots, m(l),\ j = 1, 2, \dots, m(l+1),\ \alpha \in \Sigma$,

$$A_{l,l+1}(i, \alpha, j) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \ s(e) = v^l_i, \lambda(e) = \alpha, t(e) = v^{l+1}_j \text{ for some } e \in E_{l,l+1}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

$$I_{l,l+1}(i, j) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \ \iota_{l,l+1}(v^{l+1}_j) = v^l_i, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

The C^*-algebra $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{L}}$ is realized as the universal unital C^*-algebra generated by partial isometries $S_\alpha, \alpha \in \Sigma$ and projections $E^l_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, m(l), l \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ subject to the following operator relations called ($\mathfrak{L}$):

$$\sum_{\beta\in\Sigma} S_\beta S_\beta^* = 1, \tag{8.1}$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m(l)} E_i^l = 1, \qquad E_i^l = \sum_{j=1}^{m(l+1)} I_{l,l+1}(i,j) E_j^{l+1}, \tag{8.2}$$

$$S_\alpha S_\alpha^* E_i^l = E_i^l S_\alpha S_\alpha^*, \tag{8.3}$$

$$S_\alpha^* E_i^l S_\alpha = \sum_{j=1}^{m(l+1)} A_{l,l+1}(i,\alpha,j) E_j^{l+1}, \tag{8.4}$$

for $\alpha \in \Sigma$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, m(l), l \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. It is nuclear ([19, Proposition 5.6]). Under the condition (I) defined in [19], the algebra $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{L}}$ can be realized as the unique C^*-algebra subject to the relations ($\mathfrak{L}$) ([19, Theorem 4.3]). If $\mathfrak{L}$ has some irreduciblity with condition (I), the C^*-algebra $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{L}}$ is simple ([19, Theorem 4.7], cf. [22]).

Suppose that a map $\ell : \Sigma \to G$ is given. Let us denote by $M_{|G|}(\mathbb{C})$ the $|G| \times |G|$ full matrix algebra. Let $\{e_{g,h}\}_{g,h\in G}$ be the system of matrix units of $M_{|G|}(\mathbb{C})$. We put $e_g, g \in G$ the diagonal matrix $e_{g,g}$ having 1 only at (g,g)-component and 0 elsewhere. We fix the canonical generators S_α, E_i^l of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{L}}$ satisfying the relations ($\mathfrak{L}$). We set

$$S_{(g,\alpha)} = e_{g,g\ell(\alpha)} \otimes S_\alpha \quad \text{for } (g,\alpha) \in \Sigma^G,$$
$$E_{(g,v_i^l)} = e_g \otimes E_i^l \quad \text{for } (g, v_i^l) \in V_l^G.$$

It is easy to see that the following identities hold:

$$\sum_{(g,\beta)\in\Sigma^G} S_{(g,\beta)} S_{(g,\beta)}^* = 1, \tag{8.5}$$

$$\sum_{(g,v_i^l)\in V_l^G} E_{(g,v_i^l)} = 1, \qquad E_{(g,v_i^l)} = \sum_{j=1}^{m(l+1)} I_{l,l+1}(i,j) E_{(g,v_j^{l+1})}, \tag{8.6}$$

$$S_{(g,\alpha)} S_{(g,\alpha)}^* E_{(h,v_i^l)} = E_{(h,v_i^l)} S_{(g,\alpha)} S_{(g,\alpha)}^*, \tag{8.7}$$

$$S_{(g,\alpha)}^* E_{(g,v_i^l)} S_{(g,\alpha)} = \sum_{j=1}^{m(l+1)} A_{l,l+1}(i,\alpha,j) E_{(g\ell(\alpha),v_j^{l+1})} \tag{8.8}$$

for $(g,\alpha) \in \Sigma^G, (g, v_i^l) \in V_l^G, l \in \mathbb{Z}_+$.

Let A^G, I^G be the structure matrices of the λ-graph system $\mathfrak{L}^{G,\ell}$. They are related to those A, I of $\mathfrak{L}$ in the following way. For $(g, v_i^l) \in V_l^G, (g', v_j^{l+1}) \in V_{l+1}^G$ and $(h,\alpha) \in \Sigma^G$, we have

$$A_{l,l+1}^G((g,v_i^l),(h,\alpha),(g',v_j^{l+1})) = \begin{cases} A_{l,l+1}(i,\alpha,j) & \text{if } g = h \text{ and } g' = g\ell(\alpha), \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

$$I_{l,l+1}^G((g,v_i^l),(g',v_j^{l+1})) = \begin{cases} I_{l,l+1}(i,j) & \text{if } g = g', \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Hence we know that the relations (8.5), (8.6), (8.7) and (8.8) become the relations (8.1), (8.2), (8.3) and (8.4) for the λ-graph system $\mathfrak{L}^{G,\ell}$. It is easy to see that the extension $\mathfrak{L}^{G,\ell}$ satisfies condition (I), if $\mathfrak{L}$ satisfies condition (I). We thus conclude that the C^*-algebra $C^*(S_{(g,\alpha)}, E_{(g,v_i^l)}; \alpha \in \Sigma,\ v_i^l \in V,\ g \in G)$ generated by the partial isometries $S_{(g,\alpha)}$ and the projections $E_{(g,v_i^l)}$ is canonically isomorphic to the C^*-algebra $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{L}^{G,\ell}}$.

Define an action γ of G on $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{L}^{G,\ell}}$ by setting

$$\gamma_h(S_{(g,\alpha)}) = S_{(hg,\alpha)}, \qquad \gamma_h(E_{(g,v_i^l)}) = E_{(hg,v_i^l)} \quad \text{for } \alpha \in \Sigma,\ v_i^l \in V,\ g, h \in G.$$

The action γ on $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{L}^{G,\ell}}$ comes from the action of G on the G-λ-graph system $\mathfrak{L}^{G,\ell}$ defined in Section 4. The following lemma will be used to prove Proposition 8.2.

Lemma 8.1. *The automorphism γ_h on $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{L}^{G,\ell}}$ is outer for each $h \in G$ with $h \neq 1$.*

Proof. Fix $h \in G$ with $h \neq 1$. Suppose that γ_h is inner so that there exists a unitary U in $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{L}^{G,\ell}}$ such that $\gamma_h = \mathrm{Ad}(U)$. For an admissible word $\mu = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n) \in B_*(\Lambda_{\mathfrak{L}^{G,\ell}})$ where $\mu_i = (g_i, \alpha_i)$ for some $g_i \in G, \alpha_i \in \Sigma$ of the presented subshift $\Lambda_{\mathfrak{L}^{G,\ell}}$, denote by S_μ the partial isometry $S_{(g_1,\alpha_1)} \cdots S_{(g_n,\alpha_n)}$ in $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{L}^{G,\ell}}$. We define the C^*-subalgebras $\mathcal{F}_{\mathfrak{L}^{G,\ell}}, \mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{L}^{G,\ell}}$ of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{L}^{G,\ell}}$ by setting

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{F}_{\mathfrak{L}^{G,\ell}} &= C^*(S_\mu E_{(g,v_i^l)} S_\nu^*; (g, v_i^l) \in V^G, \mu, \nu \in B_*(\Lambda_{\mathfrak{L}^{G,\ell}}) \text{ with } |\mu| = |\nu|), \\
\mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{L}^{G,\ell}} &= C^*(S_\mu E_{(g,v_i^l)} S_\mu^*; (g, v_i^l) \in V^G, \mu \in B_*(\Lambda_{\mathfrak{L}^{G,\ell}})).
\end{aligned}$$

They are the C^*-subalgebras of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{L}^{G,\ell}}$ generated by elements of the form $S_\mu E_{(g,v_i^l)} S_\nu^*$ for $(g, v_i^l) \in V^G, \mu, \nu \in B_*(\Lambda_{\mathfrak{L}^{G,\ell}})$ with $|\mu| = |\nu|$, and $S_\mu E_{(g,v_i^l)} S_\mu^*$ for $(g, v_i^l) \in V^G, \mu \in B_*(\Lambda_{\mathfrak{L}^{G,\ell}})$, respectively. The latter subalgebra $\mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{L}^{G,\ell}}$ is a maximal abelian C^*-subalgebra of the AF-algebra $\mathcal{F}_{\mathfrak{L}^{G,\ell}}$. Since $\gamma_h(\mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{L}^{G,\ell}}) = \mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{L}^{G,\ell}}$, the unitary U gives rise to an element of the normalizer $N(\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{L}^{G,\ell}}, \mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{L}^{G,\ell}})$. By [24] with the condition $\gamma_h(\mathcal{F}_{\mathfrak{L}^{G,\ell}}) = \mathcal{F}_{\mathfrak{L}^{G,\ell}}$, there exist $N_0, l_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$U = \sum_{\xi,\eta \in B_{N_0}(\Lambda_{\mathfrak{L}^{G,\ell}}),\, (g,v_i^{l_0}) \in V_{l_0}^G} c_{\xi,(g,v_i^{l_0}),\eta} S_\xi E_{(g,v_i^l)} S_\eta^* \tag{8.9}$$

where $c_{\xi,(g,v_i^{l_0}),\eta} \in \mathbb{C}$. Since $U S_{(g,\alpha)} U^* = S_{(hg,\alpha)}$, we have

$$S_{(hg,\alpha)}^* U S_{(g,\alpha)} = S_{(hg,\alpha)}^* S_{(hg,\alpha)} U \quad \text{for } (g,\alpha) \in \Sigma^G. \tag{8.10}$$

Take $l_1 > N_0$. For a fixed $(g, v_i^{l_1}) \in V^G$, there exist $(g_1, \alpha_1), \dots, (g_{N_0}, \alpha_{N_0}) \in \Sigma^G$ such that

$$E_{(g,v_i^{l_1})} \le S_{(hg_{N_0},\alpha_{N_0})}^* \cdots S_{(hg_1,\alpha_1)}^* S_{(hg_1,\alpha_1)} \cdots S_{(hg_{N_0},\alpha_{N_0})}. \tag{8.11}$$

We may in fact find a sequence $f_1, f_2, \dots, f_{N_0}$ of labeled edges in $\mathfrak{L}$ such that $t(f_i) = s(f_{i+1})$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, N_0 - 1$ and $t(f_{N_0}) = v_i^l$. Put

$$\begin{gathered}
g_{N_0} = h^{-1} g \ell_G(f_{N_0})^{-1}, \qquad g_{N_0 - 1} = h^{-1} g \ell_G(f_{N_0})^{-1} \ell_G(f_{N_0} - 1)^{-1}, \\
\dots, \quad g_1 = h^{-1} g \ell_G(f_{N_0})^{-1} \ell_G(f_{N_0} - 1)^{-1} \cdots \ell_G(f_1)^{-1},
\end{gathered}$$

and $\alpha_i = \ell_G(f_i)$ for $i = 1, \dots, N_0$, which satisfy the inequality (8.11). By (8.10), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & S^*_{(hg_{N_0}, \alpha_{N_0})} \cdots S^*_{(hg_1, \alpha_1)} U S_{(g_1, \alpha_1)} \cdots S_{(g_{N_0}, \alpha_{N_0})} \\ = & S^*_{(hg_{N_0}, \alpha_{N_0})} \cdots S^*_{(hg_1, \alpha_1)} S_{(hg_1, \alpha_1)} \cdots S_{(hg_{N_0}, \alpha_{N_0})} U. \end{aligned}$$

By (8.9), the element $S^*_{(hg_{N_0}, \alpha_{N_0})} \cdots S^*_{(hg_1, \alpha_1)} U S_{(g_1, \alpha_1)} \cdots S_{(g_{N_0}, \alpha_{N_0})}$ belongs to the C^*-subalgebra $\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{L}^{G,\ell}}$ generated by the projections $E_{(g, v_i^l)}, (g, v_i^l) \in V^G$. Since

$$\begin{aligned} E_{(g, v_i^{l_1})} U & = E_{(g, v_i^{l_1})} S^*_{(hg_{N_0}, \alpha_{N_0})} \cdots S^*_{(hg_1, \alpha_1)} S_{(hg_1, \alpha_1)} \cdots S_{(hg_{N_0}, \alpha_{N_0})} U \\ & = E_{(g, v_i^{l_1})} S^*_{(hg_{N_0}, \alpha_{N_0})} \cdots S^*_{(hg_1, \alpha_1)} U S_{(g_1, \alpha_1)} \cdots S_{(g_{N_0}, \alpha_{N_0})} \end{aligned}$$

which belongs to $\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{L}^{G,\ell}}$ and $U = \sum_{(g, v_i^{l_1}) \in V_{l_1}^G} E_{(g, v_i^{l_1})} U$, the unitary U belongs to the commutative C^*-subalgebra $\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{L}^{G,\ell}}$, so that $U E_{(g,\alpha)} U^* = E_{(g,\alpha)}$. This is a contradiction, because $E_{(hg,\alpha)} = \gamma_h(E_{(g,\alpha)}) = U E_{(g,\alpha)} U^*$. □

By using the above lemma, we can show the following proposition.

Proposition 8.2. *Suppose that a λ-graph system $\mathfrak{L}$ is irreducible and satisfies condition (I) in the sense of [19]. Then the crossed product C^*-algebra $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{L}^{G,\ell}} \rtimes_\gamma G$ is isomorphic to the tensor product $M_{|G|}(\mathbb{C}) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{L}}$.*

Proof. Let $\{\delta_h \mid h \in G\}$ be the standard basis of $\mathbb{C}^{|G|}$. Define the unitary U_h on $\mathbb{C}^{|G|}$ for each $h \in G$ by $U_h \delta_k = \delta_{hk}, k \in G$. We set $u_h = U_h \otimes 1$ in $M_{|G|}(\mathbb{C}) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{L}}$. Then it is easy to see that

$$\gamma_h(S_{(g,\alpha)}) = \mathrm{Ad}(u_h)(S_{(g,\alpha)}), \qquad \gamma_h(E_{(g, v_i^l)}) = \mathrm{Ad}(u_h)(E_{(g, v_i^l)})$$

for $h, g \in G$, $\alpha \in \Sigma$, $v_i^l \in V$. By the universality of the crossed product $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{L}^{G,\ell}} \rtimes_\gamma G$, there exists a natural $*$-homomorphism φ from $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{L}^{G,\ell}} \rtimes_\gamma G$ onto the C^*-algebra $C^*(\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{L}}, u_h; h \in G)$ generated by $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{L}}$ and the unitaries $u_h, h \in G$. Since $\mathfrak{L}$ is irreducible and satisfies condition (I), the C^*-algebra $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{L}}$ is simple by [19]. As automorphisms γ_h are outer for $h \neq 1$ by Lemma 8.1, the crossed product $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{L}^{G,\ell}} \rtimes_\gamma G$ is simple by [9]. Therefore the homomorphism $\varphi : \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{L}^{G,\ell}} \rtimes_\gamma G \longrightarrow C^*(\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{L}}, u_h; h \in G)$ is actually isomorphic. It is then easy to verify that the latter C^*-algebra $C^*(\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{L}}, u_h; h \in G)$ is isomorphic to the tensor product C^*-algebra $M_{|G|}(\mathbb{C}) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{L}}$. □

Let A be an $N \times N$ irreducible non permutation matrix with entries in nonnegative integers. Let $\mathcal{G}_A = (V_A, E_A)$ be the associated directed graph with vertex set $V_A = \{v_1, \dots, v_N\}$ and edge set $E_A = \{e_1, \dots, e_{N_A}\}$. Suppose that a map $\ell : E_A \longrightarrow G$ is given. We define a directed graph $\mathcal{G}_{A^\ell}$ giving rise to the extension of the SFT Λ_A by the map ℓ by following [2, Sectoin 2]. We set $V_A^{G,\ell} = \{(g, v_i) \mid g \in G, i = 1, \dots, N\}$. For each $e \in E_A$ from v_i to v_j in $\mathcal{G}_A$ and $g \in G$, draw an edge from (g, v_i) to $(g\ell(e), v_j)$. We thus have a directed graph with vertex set $V_A^{G,\ell}$. It is written $\mathcal{G}_{A^\ell}$, which gives rise to a G-SFT such that the associated λ-graph system to the directed graph $\mathcal{G}_{A^\ell}$ is the G-extension of the λ-graph system of the original directed graph $\mathcal{G}_A$. The nonnegative matrix for the directed graph $\mathcal{G}_{A^\ell}$ is denoted by $A^{G,\ell}$. Now let $A^{\mathcal{G}}$ be the $N_A \times N_A$ matrix defined by

(7.3), and $S_1, \dots, S_{N_A}$ a family of partial isometries satisfying the relations (7.4). The partial isometries generate the Cuntz–Krieger algebra $\mathcal{O}_{A^{\mathcal{G}}}$ which is written $\mathcal{O}_A$. Let us denote by $\{e_{g,h}\}_{g,h\in G}$ the system of matrix units of $M_{|G|}(\mathbb{C})$. The Cuntz–Krieger algebra $\mathcal{O}_{A^{\mathcal{G},\ell}}$ is generated by the family of the following partial isometries

$$S_{(g,i)} = e_{(g,g\ell(e_i))} \otimes S_i, \qquad g \in G,\, e_i \in E_A,\, i = 1, \dots, N_A.$$

We define an action γ of G on $\mathcal{O}_{A^{\mathcal{G},\ell}}$ by $\gamma_h(S_{(g,i)}) = S_{(hg,i)}$, $h, g \in G, i = 1, \dots, N_A$. As a corollary of Proposition 8.2, we have

Corollary 8.3. *The crossed product C^*-algebra $\mathcal{O}_{A^{\mathcal{G},\ell}} \rtimes_\gamma G$ is isomorphic to the tensor product $M_{|G|}(\mathbb{C}) \otimes \mathcal{O}_A$.*

Acknowledgment. The author would like to thank the referee for his helpful advices and questions on the presentation of the paper. Thanks to his question, the second half of Section 7 appeared. This work was supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number 15K04896.

References

[1] R. Adler, B. Kitchens and B. H. Marcus, *Finite group actions on shifts of finite type*, Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems **5** (1985), pp. 1–25.

[2] M. Boyle and M. C. Sullivan, *Equivalent flow equivalence for shifts of finite type, by matrix equivalence over group ring*, Proc. London Math. Soc. **91**(2005), pp. 184–214.

[3] M. Boyle and S. Schmieding, *Finite group extensions of shifts of finite type: K-Theory, Parry and Lišic*, preprint, arXiv:1503.02050.

[4] M. Field, *Dynamics and Symmetry*, ICP Advanced Texts in Mathematics **3** (2007), Imperial College Press.

[5] M. Field and M. Nicol, *Ergodic theory of equivariant diffeomorphisms: Markov partitions and stable ergodicity*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **803** (2004).

[6] R. Fischer, *Sofic systems and graphs*, Monats. für Math. **80** (1975), pp. 179–186.

[7] T. Hamachi, K. Inoue and W. Krieger, *Subsystems of finite type and semigroup invariants of subshifts*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **632**(2009), pp. 37–69.

[8] T. Hamachi and M. Nasu, *Topological conjugacy for* 1*-block factor maps of subshifts and sofic covers*, Proceedings of Maryland Special Year in Dynamics 1986-87, Springer -Verlag Lecture Notes in Math. **1342** (1988), pp. 251–260.

[9] A. Kishimoto, *Outer automorphisms and reduced crossed products of simple C^*-algebras*, Commun. Math. Phys. **81**(1981), pp. 429–435.

[10] B. P. Kitchens, *Symbolic dynamics*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg and New York (1998).

[11] W. Krieger, *On the uniqueness of the equilibrium state*, Math. Systems Theory **8** (1974), pp. 97–104.

[12] W. Krieger, *On subshifts and semigroups*, Bull. London Math. **38**(2006), pp. 617–624.

[13] W. Krieger and K. Matsumoto, *Shannon graphs, subshifts and lambda-graph systems*, J. Math. Soc. Japan **54**(2002), pp. 877–900.

[14] W. Krieger and K. Matsumoto, *A lambda-graph system for the Dyck shift and its K-groups*, Documenta Math. **8** (2003), pp. 79–96.

[15] W. Krieger and K. Matsumoto, *A class of topological conjugacy of subshifts*, Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems **24** (2004), pp. 1155–1172.

[16] W. Krieger and K. Matsumoto, *Zeta functions and topological entropy of the Markov Dyck shifts*, Münster J. Math. **4**(2011), pp. 171–185.

[17] D. Lind and B. Marcus, *An introduction to symbolic dynamics and coding*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1995).

[18] K. Matsumoto, *Presentations of subshifts and their topological conjugacy invariants*, Documenta Math. **4**(1999), pp. 285–340.

[19] K. Matsumoto, *C^*-algebras associated with presentations of subshifts*, Documenta Math. **7**(2002), pp. 1–30.

[20] K. Matsumoto, *On strong shift equivalence of symbolic matrix systems*, Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems **23**(2003), pp. 1551–1574.

[21] K. Matsumoto, *Factor maps of lambda-graph systems and inclusions of C^*-algebras*, Internat. J. Math. **15**(2004), pp. 313–339.

[22] K. Matsumoto, *Construction and pure infiniteness of C^*-algebras associated with lambda-graph systems*, Math. Scand. **97**(2005), pp. 73–89.

[23] K. Matsumoto, *On the simple C^*-algebras arising from Dyck systems*, J. Operator Theory **58**(2007), pp. 205–226.

[24] K. Matsumoto, *Orbit equivalence of one-sided subshifts and the associated C^*-algebras*, Yokohama Math. J. **56**(2010), pp. 59–85.

[25] K. Matsumoto, *C^*-algebras arising from Dyck systems of topological Markov chains*, Math. Scand. **109**(2011), pp. 31–54.

[26] M. Nasu, *Topological conjugacy for sofic shifts*, Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems **6** (1986), pp. 265–280.

[27] M. Nasu, *Textile systems for endomorphisms and automorphisms of the shift*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **546** (1995).

[28] W. Parry, *The Lišic periodic point theorem for non-abelian cocycles*, Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems **19**(1999), pp. 687–701.

[29] B. Weiss, *Subshifts of finite type and sofic systems*, Monats. Math. **77**, (1973), pp. 462–474.

[30] R. F. Williams, *Classification of subshifts of finite type*, Ann. Math. **98**(1973),pp. 120–153. erratum, Ann. Math. **99**(1974), pp. 380–381.